


AD-A274 244



(2)



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Waterways Experiment
Station

Technical Report
CPAR-GL-93-2
October 1993


CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTIVITY ADVANCEMENT RESEARCH (CPAR) PROGRAM

Improved Materials and Processes for Sealing
and Resealing Joints in Portland Cement
Concrete Pavements—Field Evaluation


by

Larry N. Lynch, Dewey W. White, James Chehovits

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited



93-30896



DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 27 1993
S E D

A Corps/Industry Partnership to Advance
Construction Productivity and Reduce Costs

93 12 22 010

Best Available Copy

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.



PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Best Available Copy

Construction Productivity Advancement
Research (CPAR) Program

CPAR GL-93-2
October 1993

Improved Materials and Processes for Sealing and Resealing Joints in Portland Cement Concrete Pavements—Field Evaluation

by Larry N. Lynch, Dewey W. White
Geotechnical Laboratory
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Waterways Experiment Station
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

James Chehovits
Crafco Incorporated
6975 West Crafco Way
Chandler, AZ 85226

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS | CRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC | TAB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justification | |
| By | |
| Distribution/ | |
| Availability Codes | |
| Dist | Avail and/or Special |
| A-1 | |


Final report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Washington, DC 20314-1000



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**
Waterways Experiment
Station



Waterways Experiment Station Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Lynch, Larry N.

Improved materials and processes for sealing and resealing joints in
Portland cement concrete pavements: field evaluation / by Larry N.

Lynch, Dewey W. White, James Chehovits; prepared for U.S. Army
Corps of Engineers.

166 p.: ill.; 28 cm. -- ([Technical report], CPAR-GL-93-2)

Includes bibliographical references.

1. Pavements, Concrete -- Joints. 2. Pavements, Asphalt concrete --
Design and construction -- Materials. 3. Sealing compounds. 4. Seal-
ing (Technology) I. White, D. W. (Dewey W.) II. Chehovits, James.
III. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. IV. U.S. Army Engineer
Waterways Experiment Station. V. Construction Productivity Advance-
ment Research Program. VI. Title. VII. Series: Technical report (U.S.
Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station); CPAR-GL-93-2
TA7 W34 no.CPAR-GL-93-2

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Preface | vii |
| Conversion Factors, Non-SI to SI Units of Measurement | ix |
| 1—Introduction | 1 |
| Background | 1 |
| Objectives | 3 |
| Purpose of Report | 3 |
| 2—Sealant Materials | 5 |
| 3—Test Plan | 8 |
| 4—Sealant Installation | 10 |
| 5—Field Evaluation | 15 |
| Six Month Field Evaluations | 15 |
| One Year Field Evaluations | 16 |
| Additional Bubble Study | 19 |
| 6—Conclusions and Recommendations | 32 |
| References | 35 |
| Appendix A: Proposed Specification for Low Modulus, Hot-Applied, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealants for Rigid and Flexible Pavements | A1 |
| Appendix B: Proposed Specification for Low Modulus, Hot-Applied, Jet-Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealants for Rigid Pavements | B1 |
| Appendix C: Data Sheets and Specification Conformance Test Results for Sealant Materials Used in the Field Evaluation | C1 |
| Appendix D: Proposed Specification for Hot-Applied, Non-Jet- Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealant Primers for Rigid Pavements ... | D1 |
| SF 298 | |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 1. | Area 1 sealant layout | 37 |
| Figure 2. | Area 2 sealant layout | 38 |
| Figure 3. | Location of test areas at Fairchild AFB | 39 |
| Figure 4. | Field evaluation sheet | 40 |
| Figure 5. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 1 | 41 |
| Figure 6. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 2 | 42 |
| Figure 7. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 3 | 42 |
| Figure 8. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 4 | 44 |
| Figure 9. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 5 | 45 |
| Figure 10. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 6 | 46 |
| Figure 11. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 7 | 47 |
| Figure 12. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 8 | 48 |
| Figure 13. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 9 | 49 |
| Figure 14. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 10 | 50 |
| Figure 15. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 11 | 51 |
| Figure 16. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 12 | 52 |
| Figure 17. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 13 | 53 |
| Figure 18. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 14 | 54 |
| Figure 19. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 15 | 55 |
| Figure 20. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 16 | 56 |
| Figure 21. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 17 | 57 |
| Figure 22. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 18 | 58 |
| Figure 23. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 19 | 59 |
| Figure 24. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 20 | 60 |
| Figure 25. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 21 | 61 |
| Figure 26. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 22 | 62 |
| Figure 27. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 23 | 63 |
| Figure 28. | Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 24 | 64 |
| Figure 29. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 1 | 65 |
| Figure 30. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 2 | 66 |
| Figure 31. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 3 | 67 |
| Figure 32. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 4 | 68 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Figure 33. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 5 | 69 |
| Figure 34. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 6 | 70 |
| Figure 35. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 7 | 71 |
| Figure 36. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 8 | 72 |
| Figure 37. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 9 | 73 |
| Figure 38. | Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 10 | 74 |
| Figure 39. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 1 | 75 |
| Figure 40. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 2 | 76 |
| Figure 41. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 3 | 77 |
| Figure 42. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 4 | 78 |
| Figure 43. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 5 | 79 |
| Figure 44. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 6 | 80 |
| Figure 45. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 7 | 81 |
| Figure 46. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 8 | 82 |
| Figure 47. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 9 | 83 |
| Figure 48. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 10 | 84 |
| Figure 49. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 11 | 85 |
| Figure 50. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 12 | 86 |
| Figure 51. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 13 | 87 |
| Figure 52. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 14 | 88 |
| Figure 53. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 15 | 89 |
| Figure 54. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 16 | 90 |
| Figure 55. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 17 | 91 |
| Figure 56. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 18 | 92 |
| Figure 57. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 19 | 93 |
| Figure 58. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 20 | 94 |
| Figure 59. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 21 | 95 |
| Figure 60. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 22 | 96 |
| Figure 61. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 23 | 97 |
| Figure 62. | One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 24 | 98 |
| Figure 63. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 1 | 99 |
| Figure 64. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 2 | 100 |
| Figure 65. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 3 | 101 |
| Figure 66. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 4 | 102 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 67. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 5 | 103 |
| Figure 68. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 6 | 104 |
| Figure 69. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 7 | 105 |
| Figure 70. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 8 | 106 |
| Figure 71. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 9 | 107 |
| Figure 72. | One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 10 | 108 |
| Figure 73. | Concrete test slab plan | 109 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 1. | Installation Areas and Sealant Types | 9 |
| Table 2. | Joint Sealant Installation Summary | 12 |
| Table 3. | Six-Month Joint Sealant Field Evaluation Summary | 17 |
| Table 4. | Sealant Bubbling Rating System | 19 |
| Table 5. | One Year Joint Sealant Field Evaluation Summary | 20 |
| Table 6. | Sealant Installation Plan | 22 |
| Table 7. | Weather Data at Test Slab | 23 |
| Table 8. | Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 5 Days of Slab Curing | 26 |
| Table 9. | Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 8 Days of Slab Curing | 28 |
| Table 10. | Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 14 Days of Slab Curing | 29 |
| Table 11. | Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 30 Days of Slab Curing | 30 |
| Table 12. | Initial Bubble Rating Versus Final Bubble Rating | 31 |

Preface

Section 7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1988, P.L. 100-676, 33 U.S.C. 2313, and the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 37012a, provide the legislative authority for the Construction Productivity Advancement Research (CPAR) Program. The CPAR program allows the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to enter into cooperative research and development agreements with construction industry partners to conduct cost-shared, collaborative efforts with the goal of improving construction productivity.

The CPAR program "Improved Materials and Processes for Sealing and Resealing Joints in Portland Cement Concrete Pavements," was a collaborative effort between the Geotechnical Laboratory (GL) of the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) and Crafcro Incorporated. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers technical monitor was Mr. Gregory Hughes.

The project was conducted under the general supervision of Dr. W. F. Marcuson III, Director, GL, WES, and under the direct supervision of Mr. H. H. Ulery, Jr., former Chief, Pavement Systems Division (PSD), GL; Dr. George M. Hammitt II, current Chief, PSD, GL, Dr. R. S. Rollings, former Chief, Materials Research and Construction Technology (MR&CT), and Mr. T. W. Vollor, current Chief, MR&CT. Assistance during the field evaluations was provided by Mr. Ron Sanders, Fairchild AFB, WA, and Mr. Herbert McKnight, MRCT. The WES Principal Investigator was Mr. Larry N. Lynch and the Crafcro Principal Investigator was Mr. James Chehovits. This report was prepared by Messrs. Lynch, Dewey W. White, and James Chehovits.

Dr. Robert W. Whalin was the Director of WES. COL Bruce K. Howard, EN, was the Commander

Site coordination and construction support was provided by the following personnel:

Mr. Ron Sanders, 92 SPTG/DEMHH, Fairchild AFB, WA
TSgt Ted Strom, 92 SPTG/DEEE, Fairchild AFB, WA
Mr. Larry Erickson, Crafcro Incorporated, Chandler, AZ
Mr. Sirous Baradaran, Crafcro Incorporated, Chandler, AZ
Mr. Art Recker, Blade Runners, Incorporated, Phoenix, AZ

Mr. Randy McPhall, Blade Runners, Incorporated, Phoenix, AZ
Mr. Carl Harvath, Koch Materials Company, Stroud, OK
Ms. Donna Amen, Koch Materials Company, Mack, CO
Mr. Burt Fraley, National Concrete Cutters, Incorporated, Auburn, WA
Mr. William Sobieski, Mobay Corporation, Pittsburgh, PA

Conversion Factors, Non-SI to SI Units of Measurement

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI units as follows:

| Multiply | By | To Obtain |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| degrees | 0.01745329 | radians |
| Fahrenheit degrees | $(F-32)/1.8$ | Celsius degrees |
| inches | 2.54 | centimetres |
| ounces (U.S. fluid) | 0.02957353 | cubic decimeters |
| square inches | 6.4516 | square centimeters |
| pounds (force) per square inch | 6.894757 | kilopascals |

1 Introduction

Background

Many types and compositions of materials are currently used for sealing portland cement concrete (PCC) joints. These materials vary widely in chemical complexity, field performance, suitability for a specific application, and price. Currently, there are four "types" of sealants which can be specified on military projects. The specifications which cover these types of sealants are:

- a. Federal Specification (FS) SS-S-1401C (1984a), "Sealant, Joint, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant, Hot-Applied, for Portland Cement and Asphalt Concrete Pavements."
- b. FS SS-S-1614A (1984b), "Sealant, Joint Jet-Fuel-Resistant, Hot-Applied, for Portland Cement and Tar Concrete Pavements."
- c. FS SS-S-200E (1988), "Sealants, Joint, Two-Component, Jet-Blast-Resistant, Cold-Applied, for Portland Cement Concrete Pavement."
- d. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Handbook for Concrete and Cement (CRD C) 527 (1992), "Joint Sealants, Cold-Applied, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant, for Rigid and Flexible Pavements."

FS SS-S-1401C sealants are asphalt-base, hot-applied materials normally used to seal cracks in asphalt cement pavements or joints in PCC pavements in areas where fuel spillage is not expected. Typical application areas are parking lots, roadways, and some taxiway applications. For personnel not familiar with federal specifications, FS SS-S-1401C is similar but not identical to American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) D 3405 (1978).

FS SS-S-1614A sealants are coal tar-base, hot-applied materials that are normally used to seal joints in PCC pavements where fuel spillage would be expected. Typical applications are maintenance areas and aircraft parking aprons. FS SS-S-1614A is similar to ASTM D 3569 (1991), with the exception of two or three additional tests that are included in ASTM D 3569 and ASTM D 3581 (1990).

FS SS-S-200E sealants are two-component, cold-applied materials and can be coal-tar, polysulfide, or polyurethane-based. These sealants are used to seal joints in PCC pavements where both fuel spillage and aircraft blast are expected. Typical application areas are aircraft warm-up areas, pavements that are exposed to vertical short takeoff landing (VSTOL) aircraft, and the ends of runways. Currently there is not an ASTM specification similar to FS SS-S-200E.

CRD C 527 sealants are cold-applied and can be either single or multi-component materials. CRD C 527 sealants are normally used to seal joints and cracks in either PCC or asphalt cement concrete pavements that are not exposed to fuel spillage. Typical areas where these sealants can be used are the same as the FS SS-S-1401C sealants. Currently there is not an ASTM specification similar to CRD C 527.

The above mentioned pavement joint sealants are manufactured for a specific use; however, an ideal sealant, regardless of the specification to which it was manufactured, would consist of certain characteristics. These ideal characteristics would include:

- a. Simple and repeatable application techniques.
- b. Maintains adhesion to joint faces when subjected to extreme temperatures and joint movements.
- c. Insensitive to moisture.
- d. Rejects incompressible materials.
- e. Resistant to long term weathering.
- f. Resistant to various de-icing chemicals.
- g. Resists bubbling and/or blistering during application and service.
- h. Economical.

Each sealant type; FS SS-S-1401C, SS-S-1614A, SS-S-200E, and CRD C 527, may contain one or more of these ideal characteristics; however, no one sealant contains all of them. The sealants manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-200E are arguably the most ideal sealant based on the list of ideal characteristics, but they can be difficult to install properly.

Field observations and evaluations conducted in recent years by various agencies have indicated problem areas associated with the sealing and resealing of joints in PCC pavements. Most of the problems or failures that have been observed are directly associated or can be traced to one or a combination of material, workmanship, and/or project specification deficiencies.

Objectives

Considering the characteristics of an ideal sealant and the types of problems and failures that have been encountered in the field, Crafcro, Incorporated, and the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station conducted a cost-shared collaborative effort to investigate methods of improving pavement joint sealant performance. This program was funded under the auspices of the FY 89 Construction Productivity Advancement Research (CPAR) Program. The stated objectives of the research effort were as follows:

- a. Improve the low temperature performance characteristics of existing hot-applied, jet-fuel-resistant (JFR) and non-jet-fuel-resistant (non-JFR) pavement joint sealant materials (or develop new materials to achieve the desired performance characteristics).
- b. Develop a primer system that will minimize the bubbling tendencies associated with hot-applied sealants and improve the sealant's adhesion to PCC.
- c. Develop field data to determine performance of flush fill sealant application geometry versus 1/8 to 1/4 in. recess application.

There are several test requirements in both ASTM and Federal specifications that a sealant must meet before it conforms to the specific specification. Failure of any one of the requirements constitutes non-conformance. These test methods were designed to identify the physical characteristics of joint sealant materials that would be advantageous for good field performance. For example, the penetration test provides an indication of how well a sealant will resist the puncturing effects of debris as traffic forces the debris into the sealant. Resilience provides an indication of how well the sealant will reject the debris after the force of traffic has been removed. However, these tests do not necessarily predict how the sealant material will actually perform in the field. Joint preparation and sealant application are critical aspects of the finished product. Federal and ASTM specifications do provide a means for user agencies to compare different joint sealant materials and a method of quality control for the sealant manufacturer, but verification of actual field performance is required.

Purpose of Report

To accomplish the research objectives, the effort was divided into two phases. Phase I was a laboratory investigation which investigated improving the low temperature performance characteristics of hot-applied pavement joint sealant materials and the development of a primer system to minimize bubbling tendencies of hot-applied sealants. The Phase II effort was a field evaluation of the sealants and primer system developed in Phase I and was also used to evaluate the flush fill sealant installation geometry versus recessing the sealant below the pavement surface. The Phase II field evaluations also

included several commercially available sealants as a control group for field performance comparisons.

The purpose of this report is to document the Phase II Field Evaluation of the sealants. The Phase I Laboratory Investigation is documented in USAE Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report CPAR-GL-93-1 (Lynch, White, and Chehovits 1993).

The results from the field evaluations cover the materials installed in portland cement concrete pavements of airfields only as none of the materials were installed in pavements with high traffic rates typical of roads and streets.

2 Sealant Materials

Several hot-applied, asphalt-based and coal tar-based sealants were obtained for the Laboratory Phase of the project. The asphalt-based sealants were tested in accordance with FS SS-S-1401C and the coal tar-based sealants were tested in accordance with FS SS-S-1614A. Not all of the procured sealants were manufactured to meet the requirements of either Federal Specification, but the testing was conducted to characterize currently available hot-applied sealant materials. If the desired characteristics currently existed, there would not be a need to develop a new sealant. The sealants tested during the Laboratory Phase in accordance with FS SS-S-1401C were:

- a. Crafcro, Inc., RoadSaver 222, manufactured to meet or exceed the requirements of FS SS-S-1401C, ASTM D 1190, and ASTM D 3405.
- b. Crafcro, Inc., RoadSaver 231, manufactured to meet the requirements of a low-modulus modified ASTM D 3405.
- c. Crafcro, Inc., RoadSaver 299, manufactured specifically to seal joints or cracks in PCC or asphalt pavements in colder climates.
- d. Crafcro, Inc., 34515, manufactured to meet the requirements of state modified American Association of State Highway and Transportation Offices (AASHTO) M 173 (1990).
- e. Koch Materials Company, Product 9030, manufactured to meet the requirements of a low-modulus modified ASTM D 3405.
- f. Koch Materials Company, Product 9005, Manufactured to meet or exceed the requirements of FS SS-S-1401C, ASTM D 1190, and ASTM D 3405.
- g. W. R. Meadows, Sealtight Sof-Seal, manufactured to meet the requirements of a low-modulus company developed specification.
- h. W. R. Meadows, Sealtight Hi-Spec, manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1401C and ASTM D 3405.

The sealants obtained and tested in accordance with FS SS-S-1614A were:

- a. CrafcO, Inc., Superseal 1614A, manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1614A and ASTM D 3581.
- b. Koch Materials Company, Product 9012, manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1614A, ASTM D 3569, and ASTM D 3581.
- c. Koch Materials Company, NEA-1614, manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1614A and ASTM D 3581.

The test results of the as-received sealant samples indicated that not all of the sealants manufactured to meet federal specification requirements actually conformed to those specifications. These particular sealants would not have been approved for use on a military project because of the non-compliance. However, the test results should not be interpreted to mean that all sealants produced by the manufacturer would not conform to the appropriate specification. It simply indicates that the one lot number or batch number obtained for the investigation did not conform to the appropriate specification.

Not all of the joint sealant materials evaluated in the Laboratory Phase of the project were installed for field evaluation. The manufacturers of the particular joint sealants evaluated in the Laboratory Phase were provided the option of installing their material for field evaluation. The joint sealant materials that were evaluated in Phase I and also installed for field evaluation were:

- a. CrafcO, Inc., RoadSaver 222.
- b. CrafcO, Inc., Improved Non-JFR Sealant. This sealant is an asphalt-based material that has a lower modulus than sealants manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1401C. The proposed specification for this type of sealant is provided in Appendix A.
- c. Koch Materials Company, Product 9005.
- d. CrafcO, Inc., Superseal 1614A.
- e. CrafcO, Inc., Improved JFR Sealant. This sealant is a coal tar-based sealant that has a lower modulus than sealants manufactured to meet the requirements of FS SS-S-1614A. The proposed specification for this type of sealant is provided in Appendix B.
- f. Koch Materials Company, Product 9012.

Several manufacturers were contacted by CrafcO and WES and provided the option of installing commercially available sealants as a control group. The conditions for participation in the program were that each manufacturer was responsible for the cost of installing his material, and specification conformance test data must be provided for the actual lot or batch number of the sealant installed. The additional sealants that were installed for field evaluation were:

- a. Crafc, Inc., RoadSaver Silicone SL.**
- b. Mobay Corporation, Baysilone 960.**
- c. Mobay Corporation, Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling.**
- d. Dow Corning Corporation, 902 RCS.**
- e. Dow Corning Corporation, 890 SL**
- f. Koch Materials Company, Product 9050 SL**
- g. Koch Materials Company, Product 9020.**

A brief data sheet and the specification conformance test results for each sealant material installed for the field evaluation are provided in Appendix C. Additional manufacturers were contacted, but they chose not to participate.

Two of the manufacturers, Crafc, Inc., and Koch Materials Company, installed a primer in some of their test sections. The primer installed by Crafc, Inc., was developed during the Laboratory Phase of the project and was designed to minimize the bubbling tendencies of the asphalt-based, hot-applied sealants. The proposed specification for the developed primer is provided in Appendix D. Koch Materials Company installed two primers; one with their Product 9005 and one with their Product 9012. Both primers were designed to improve the sealant's adhesive properties. Data sheets for the primer materials are also included in Appendix C.

3 Test Plan

The test plan for the field evaluation of the sealant materials was very simple. Contract one contractor to prepare all of the joints to minimize discrepancies that could be caused by changes in preparation procedures. The procedures used to prepare the joints were sawing to reface the joint walls, flushing the joint with water to remove all saw residue. The joints were allowed to dry and were then sandblasted, cleaned with compressed air, and a backer rod was inserted. The sealant manufacturer's representative was allowed to examine the joints, note any discrepancies with the cleanliness of the joint, and then install his sealant.

A total of 750 linear feet of each sealant was installed in two 375 linear foot sections. Two sections only for each sealant were used due to funding constraints. The linear footage of primer used by Crafcro, Inc., and Koch Materials Company was determined by the manufacturers representative. The sealant materials were divided into two groups; JFR and non-JFR. The definition of fuel-resistance was based upon the change-in-weight (solubility) test requirements of ASTM D 3569, ASTM D 3581, FS SS-S-1614A, and FS SS-S-200E. Each of these specifications allow no more than a 2 percent change-in-weight from the initial weight after conditioning in reference fuel B maintained at 120°F for 24 hr. The test area layouts for the sealant materials are provided in Figures 1 and 2. The sealants that correspond to each section are provided in Table 1. The location of the two test areas at Fairchild Air Force Base (AFB), WA, is shown in Figure 3.

The first evaluation of the in-place sealants was conducted during the actual application of the material. The second evaluation was conducted approximately 6 months (22 January 1992) after installation with the third evaluation conducted approximately 1 year (27 July 1992) after installation. The performance of the sealant was determined by noting the type and number of defects each sealant incurred. Figure 4 provides a sample evaluation sheet used during the field performance evaluation.

Once the layout for the field evaluation had been established, the site for installation of the sealant materials had to be selected. Two major items were used to identify possible sites for the field evaluation. The first and most important item was the site had to be located in an area that experienced cold weather. One of the main objectives of the project was to develop a material that exhibited improved low temperature field performance. The second

Table 1
Installation Areas and Sealant Types

| Area | Sections | Sealant ¹ |
|---|----------|--|
| 1 | 1 & 18 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 installed with a 1/8- to 1/4-in. recess. |
| 1 | 2 & 19 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 installed using flush fill geometry. |
| 1 | 3 & 20 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 installed with a 1/8- to 1/4-in. recess. All joints were primed. |
| 1 | 4 & 21 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR Sealant installed with a 1/8- to 1/4-in. recess. |
| 1 | 5 & 22 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR Sealant installed using flush fill geometry. |
| 1 | 6 & 23 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR Sealant installed with a 1/8- to 1/4-in. recess. All joints were primed. |
| 1 | 7 & 24 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL |
| 1 | 8 & 16 | Mobay Baysilone 960 |
| 1 | 9 & 15 | Mobay Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling |
| 1 | 10 & 17 | Koch Product 9005, selected joints were primed |
| 1 | 11 & 14 | Dow Corning 902 RCS |
| 1 | 12 & 13 | Dow Corning 890 SL |
| 2 | 1 & 6 | Crafco Superseal 1614A |
| 2 | 2 & 7 | Crafco Improved JFR Sealant |
| 2 | 3 & 9 | Koch Product 9050 SL |
| 2 | 4 & 10 | Koch Product 9020 |
| 2 | 5 & 8 | Koch Product 9012, selected joints were primed |
| ¹ Some sections have more than one sealant material in the section. This occurred due to a lack of sealant material. The sections that have more than one sealant in them are shown in Figures 5-38. | | |

criterion was that the site required resealing. Based on this criterion, Fairchild AFB in Spokane, WA, was selected. Fairchild AFB experiences an average daily temperature during January of 25°F and average low temperatures of 19°F. Extreme low temperatures of down to -30°F have been recorded, so the low temperature capabilities of the sealant will be tested. Conversely, the average daily temperature during August is approximately 70°F with the average high temperature being 84°F. Extreme high temperatures of up to 108°F have been recorded; therefore, the sealant's ability to withstand movement will also be tested.

4 Sealant Installation

The sealing project was initiated at Fairchild AFB, WA, on 10 June 1991 and was completed on 14 June 1991. A preconstruction meeting was held to ensure base personnel as well as the contractor and manufacturer representatives were aware of the project location, scheduling requirements, and for base personnel to explain the requirements that must be followed when working on the airfield. At this meeting, one of the manufacturers stated that he would provide his own contractor to clean and seal the joints. The manufacturer was more familiar with the capabilities of the second contractor, thereby believing that the use of a second contractor would expedite the process. The use of a second contractor was agreed to mainly because additional aircraft would be flying into Fairchild AFB and the base personnel would need access to the areas that were being sealed on 15 June 1991.

After the meeting, the two contractors, Blade Runners, Inc., of Phoenix, AZ, and National Concrete Cutting of Auburn, WA, began to prepare the joints for sealing. Both contractors used a water cooled concrete saw (Photo 1) to reface the joints to a width of approximately 3/4 in. Most of the old joint sealant material had been previously removed from Area 1 by Fairchild AFB maintenance crews. The old sealant in Area 2 was removed during the joint refacing using the concrete saws. Once the joints had been refaced, they were flushed using high pressure water equipment (Photo 2) to remove all debris left by the sawing operation. After the joints had dried, they were sandblasted and cleaned with compressed air (Photos 3 and 4) to complete the preparation process. To expedite the sealing process, all joints were prepared at the same time. The joints remained open for 1 to 2 days before sealing. Compressed air was used to clean the joints immediately prior to sealing, and the manufacturers inspected the joints for cleanliness and dryness.

Upon completion of joint preparation, backer rod material was placed into the joints to provide the proper depth to width ratio or shape factor. For most of the sealants used on the project the proper shape factor is approximately one; therefore, the backer rod was inserted to a depth of approximately 1 in. The 1-in. depth allows for a 1/8 to 1/4 in. recess below the pavement surface and approximately 3/4 in. of sealant material. The silicone sealants require a shape factor of approximately 1/2; therefore, the backer rod was inserted to a depth of approximately 3/4 in. The 3/4-in. depth allows for a 1/8- to 1/4-in. recess below the pavement surface and approximately 1/2 in. of sealant material. The flush fill geometry was achieved by slightly overfilling the joint and

then using a squeegee to level off the excess sealant. A 3 to 4 in. band was formed over the joint using this technique. All of one sealant was installed before cleaning out the melter and heating another sealant. This procedure expedited the sealing operation and minimized waste.

Most of the joints which were sealed during this project had some of spalling. None of the spalls were repaired before the joints were sealed. Also, during the installation of the sealant materials, the manufacturers noted that small amounts of the old joint sealant were left in the joints. The manufacturers wanted the residual sealant removed to prevent potential incompatibility problems and to prevent adhesion failures at those areas. The manufacturers were asked to mark the location of the residual sealant to ensure these areas could be delineated in future evaluations, but the residual sealant was not removed. Table 2 provides a summary of the various sealant materials that were installed, conditions experienced during joint preparation and sealant installation, and any sealant reactions that occurred during or immediately after installation.

Table 2
Joint Sealant Installation Summary

| Installation Date | Weather Conditions | Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Application Observations |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 06/12/91 | Low temperatures were in the low 40's, Highs were in the low to mid 60's. Was windy and overcast. Had a very light rain at noon. The misting lasted about 30 min. | 1/1 1/2 ¹ 1/3 ² 1/18 1/19 ¹ 1/20 ² | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | A small portion of the sealant material was placed in the melter at approximately 4:00 a.m. so that it could be turned on and warmed up. The remainder of the sealant was placed in the melter at approximately 8:00 a.m. and the temperature of the melter was brought to 400°F for sealant application. Section 1 was sealed at approximately 11:30 a.m., followed by Section 2, Section 18, Section 19, Section 20, and Section 3. Sealing of these sections was completed at approximately 1:30 p.m. Sections 3 and 20 were primed at approximately 11:00 a.m. Therefore, the primer was allowed to cure for over 1 hr before the sealant was installed. All of these sections exhibited surface bubbling during the installation of the sealant. The primed sections (3 and 20) appeared to have less bubbling than the unprimed sections and the flush fill geometry sections (2 and 19) appeared to have the most bubbling. All of these sections were poured in the afternoon. The melter was cleaned to remove all RoadSaver 222 material and the improved material was placed in the melter. The temperature of the melter was brought to approximately 380°F for sealant application. The primer placed in Sections 6 and 23 was allowed to cure for over 1 hr before the sealant was installed. All of sections exhibited some surface bubbling, but the bubbling appeared to be less than exhibited in the RoadSaver 222. All sections had approximately the same amount of bubbling. Crafco RoadSaver Silicone sealant was placed in Section 23 by accident. Therefore, the remainder of the sealant that as supposed to be placed in Section 23 was placed in Section 24. |
| 06/12/91 | Same as above. | 1/4 1/5 ¹ 1/6 ² 1/21 1/22 ¹ 1/23 ² | Crafco Improved Non-JFR Sealant | |
| (Sheet 1 of 3) | | | | |
| ¹ Flush fill geometry used. ² All or selected joints were primed. | | | | |

| Table 2 (Continued) | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Installation Date | Weather Conditions | Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Application Observations |
| 06/12/91 | Same as above. | 1/7 1/24 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL Sealant | These sections were sealed by hand using caulking tubes. Some of the sealant that was supposed to be placed in Section 24 was placed in Section 23 by accident. Both of these sections were sealed in the afternoon. |
| 06/13/91 | The low was in the low to mid 40's. The high was in the low 60's. It did not rain, but it was windy. | 1/8 1/16 | Mobay Baysilone 960 | These sections were sealed using an extrusion pump. The manufacturer noted that some old sealant material had been left in isolated areas. These areas were not recleaned to remove the residual material. |
| 06/13/91 | Same as above | 1/9 1/15 | Mobay Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling | These sections were sealed using an extrusion pump. Some old sealant material was also left in some isolated areas. The residual material was not removed from the joints. The Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling material that came in contact with residual sealant experienced discoloring. |
| 06/13/91 | Same as above. | 1/10 ² 1/17 ¹ | Koch Product 9005 | Some old joint sealant material was left in isolated areas of the joints. The residual material was not removed from the joints. A Koch primer material was applied to selected joints in both sections. The primer was allowed to cure for a minimum of 1 hr before sealing. |
| | | | | The sealant experienced some surface bubbling during installation in both the primed and unprimed joints. |
| 06/12/91 | Same as above. | 1/11 1/14 | Dow Corning 902 RCS | These sections were sealed using a pressurized caulking gun. Some of the joints in both of these sections were sealed with Dow Corning 890 SL due to a lack of material. |
| 06/12/91 | Same as above. | 1/12 1/13 | Dow Corning 890 SL | These sections were sealed using an extrusion pump. |

(Sheet 2 of 3)

Table 2 (Concluded)

| Installation Date | Weather Conditions | Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Application Observations |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 06/14/91 | The low was in the high 40's and the high was in the low to mid 80's. There was a gusty wind, but no rain. | 2/1 2/6 | Crafco Superseal 1614A | These sections were sealed in the morning. The sealant exhibited surface bubbling in both sections. |
| 06/14/91 | Same as above. | 2/2 2/7 | Crafco Improved JFR | The sealant exhibited surface bubbling in both sections, but it was less than that exhibited by the Superseal 1614A. |
| 06/13/91 | Same as above. | 2/3 2/9 | Koch Product 9050 SL | The sections were sealed using an extrusion pump. |
| 06/13/91 06/14/91 | Same as above. | 2/4 2/10 | Koch Product 9020 | Approximately half of the joints in Section 10 were sealed on 06/13. The remaining joints of Section 10 were sealed on 06/14. Crafco Superseal 1614A was used to seal one joint in Section 4 due to a lack of Product 9020. The manufacturer had some difficulty mixing the Product 9020 because of the cool weather. The cans of sealant had to be warmed before satisfactory mixing and application could be achieved. |
| 06/14/91 | Same as above. | 2/5 ² 2/8 ² | Koch Product 9012 | Selected joints in both sections were primed. Sealant exhibited some surface bubbling during installation. |

(Sheet 3 of 3)

5 Field Evaluation

Six Month Field Evaluations

The 6-month field evaluations were conducted on 22 January 1992. Snow had to be removed from both areas before the sealant could be evaluated. Area 2 was surveyed during the morning. The weather during the Area 2 survey was cloudy and windy with an ambient temperature of 25°F. Area 1 was surveyed during the afternoon. The weather during the Area 1 survey was cloudy with a slight breeze and an ambient temperature of 35°F.

The evaluation of each sealant material was conducted by visually inspecting the material for defects. If any defects were noted, the type of defect was described and the quantity of that defect was measured. The quantity of the defect was divided by the total quantity of sealant and the result reported as percent defect. Adhesion and cohesion failure, fuel damage and debris retention were the main defects that were anticipated, but items such as discoloration, bubbling, and surface cracking were also plausible. The percent defect was subdivided into five categories:

- a. 0 percent - no failure.
- b. < 11 percent - few failure.
- c. 11 - 50 percent - frequent failure.
- d. > 50 percent - extensive failure.
- e. 100 percent - complete failure.

The most common defect noted with the hot-applied sealant materials both non-JFR and JFR was bubbling. Many of the hot-applied sealants experienced surface bubbling during installation, and the bubbling had appeared to increase both in size and quantity as the sealants aged. The primer material developed during the CHAR project did not appear to reduce the bubbling tendencies of the hot-applied sealants and in some cases it appeared to increase the bubbling tendency. Problems noted during the installation of the primer and sealant material concerning the curing of the primer may explain why the primer did not reduce the bubbling. A separate investigation was conducted to determine

if the primer had not properly cured. The investigation is discussed later in this report.

A small amount of adhesion failures was observed in the sealant materials. All of the failures were less than 1 percent and were classified as few. Some of the adhesion failures noted in the flush fill geometry appeared to have been caused by snow plows. The exact cause of the other adhesion failures was not determined.

A coin test was conducted on each of the sealants in addition to the visual evaluation. The coin test was conducted by pressing the edge of a quarter into the sealant material to a depth of approximately 1/4 in. The quarter was then released and the sealant was allowed to rebound. The results of the test were reported as retained or rejected the coin. If the sealant rejected the coin, it was considered to be satisfactorily resilient. If the sealant retained the coin, it was considered not to be resilient. Generally, the cold-applied sealants rejected the coin and the hot-applied sealants retained the coin. The information and data collected during the 6-month evaluation are provided in Figures 5 through 38, and a summary of the data is provided in Table 3.

One Year Field Evaluations

The 1-year evaluations were conducted during the morning of 27 July 1992. The ambient temperature during the evaluation ranged from 68 to 75°F. The same evaluation criteria used during the 6-month evaluations were used for the 1-year evaluations. Because of the large amount of bubbling that was evident during the 6-month evaluation, a rating system was used to allow a quantitative comparison between the various sealants. The numeric rating system was developed by CrafcO, Incorporated during the additional bubble study to evaluate the effectiveness of the primer system. The rating system was based on three items; number of bubbles per foot, bubble size, and sealant swelling. The rating system is provided in Table 4. The overall rating is determined by adding the individual ratings and dividing by 3.

The 1-year evaluations did not differ greatly from the 6-month evaluations. The most common defect noted with the hot-applied sealants was bubbling, and a small amount of adhesion failure was evident. The adhesion failures were still less than 1 percent and were classified as few. The coin test was conducted on each of the sealants, and almost all of the sealants, with the exception of the hot-applied, asphalt-based materials, rejected the coin. The information and data collected during the 1-year evaluation are provided in Figures 39 through 72, and a summary of the data is provided in Table 5.

Table 3
Six Month Joint Sealant Field Evaluation Summary

| Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Sealant Discoloration | Surface Cracking | Bubbling | Coin Test | Adhesion Failure ¹ | Cohesion Failure ¹ | Fuel Damage ¹ | Debris Retention ¹ |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1/1 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/2 ² | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/3 ³ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/4 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/5 ² | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/6 ³ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/7 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/8 | Mobay Baysilone 960 | Yes | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/9 | Mobay Baysilone 960 SL | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/10 ³ | Koch Product 9005 | None | None | Yes | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/11 | Dow Corning 902 RCS | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/12 | Dow Corning 890 SL | None | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/13 | Dow Corning 890 SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/14 | Dow Corning 902 RCS | None | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/15 | Mobay Baysilone 960 SL | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |

(Continued)

¹ Definition of results provided on evaluation sheets.² Flush fill geometry used.³ Primer material used in section.

Table 3 (Concluded)

| Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Sealant Discoloration | Surface Cracking | Bubbling | Coin Test | Adhesion Failure ¹ | Cohesion Failure ¹ | Fuel Damage ¹ | Debris Retention ¹ |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1/16 | Mobay Baysilone 960 | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/17 ³ | Koch Product 9005 | None | Yes | Yes | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/18 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/19 ² | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/20 ³ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/21 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/22 ² | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/23 ³ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/24 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/1 | Crafco Superseal 1614A | None | Yes | None | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 2/2 | Crafco Improved JFR | None | Yes | Yes | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 2/3 | Koch Product 9050 SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/4 | Koch Product 9020 | None | Yes | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 2/5 ³ | Koch Product 9012 | None | None | Yes | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/6 | Crafco Superseal 1614A | None | Yes | None | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 2/7 | Crafco Improved JFR | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/8 ³ | Koch Product 9012 | None | Yes | Yes | N/A | None | None | None | None |
| 2/9 | Koch Product 9050 SL | None | Yes | None | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 2/10 | Koch Product 9020 | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |

| Table 4 Sealant Bubbling Rating System | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-----------------|-----------|
| Item | Rating | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Number of Bubbles per Foot of Joint | None | 1-5 | 5-15 | 16 + |
| Size of Bubbles | None | 1/8 in. | 1/8 in.-1/4 in. | > 1/4 in. |
| Sealant Swelling | None | 1/8 in. | 1/8 in.-1/4 in. | > 1/4 in. |

Additional Bubble Study¹

A 14 ft wide by 64 ft long by 6 in. deep concrete test slab was constructed at Crafcro Incorporated in August 1991. The slab was constructed of standard PCC as specified for use in concrete pavement construction by the Arizona Department of Transportation. The slab was coated with a curing membrane after placement. The initial saw cuts, 1/8 in. by 2 in., were made within the first 24 hr. The joint sealant reservoir was formed after the concrete had cured for 48 hr. The final dimensions of the joints were 1/2 in. wide by 2 in. deep. After the sawing operation had been completed, the joints were washed with water, allowed to dry, and then sandblasted. A total of 48 test joints were formed into the slab by sawing six longitudinal and seven transverse joints. The test joint layout for the bubble study and the numbering system used to identify the test joints is shown on Figure 73.

The controlled variables for the study were the type of sealant installed, primed or unprimed joints, and the age of the slab when the sealant material was installed. Table 6 lists the materials installed and the conditions under which they were installed. Two replicate joints for each sealant, primer, and slab age combination were poured. The joints used for each combination were selected at random. The primer used in the joints was pilot production sample RS-12. Additionally, the ambient temperature and humidity conditions were monitored three times daily as were the sealant and slab surface temperature. The weather data are provided in Table 7. Additional information on the material properties of selected sealants can be found in Lynch et al. 1993.

Twenty to 24 hr before the sealant was installed into the joint, the section was wetted with water from a hand held sprayer. This was done to simulate sealing projects where the sawing operations are conducted on the previous day. The joints were allowed to air dry overnight and then the primer was applied to the appropriate joints approximately 3 hr before sealing. The primer was allowed to dry to a tack free condition as determined by touching. A 5/8 in. polyethylene backer rod, HBR XL, was installed into the joints to a

¹ Test data for the additional bubble study was provided by Crafcro Incorporated.

Table 5
One Year Joint Sealant Field Evaluation Summary

| Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Sealant Discoloration | Surface Cracking | Bubbling (Rating) ¹ | Coin Test | Adhesion Failure ² | Cohesion Failure ² | Fuel Damage ² | Debris Retention ² |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1/1 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes (0.7) | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/2 ³ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes (2.3) | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/3 ⁴ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | None | Yes (2.3) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/4 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | Yes | Yes (2.0) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/5 ³ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | Yes | Yes (2.0) | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/6 ⁴ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes (2.7) | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/7 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/8 | Mobay Baysilone 960 | Yes | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/9 | Mobay Baysilone 960 SL | Yes | None | Yes (1.3) | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/10 ⁴ | Koch Product 9005 | None | Yes | Yes (1.3) | Retained | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/11 | Dow Corning 902 RCS | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/12 | Dow Corning 890 SL | None | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/13 | Dow Corning 890 SL | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/14 | Dow Corning 902 RCS | Yes | None | Yes (0.7) | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |

(Continued)

¹ See pages 16 and 18 for rating definition. Example: number of bubbles, 1; swelling, 0. Add 3 together = 2 divided by 3 (factors) equals a rating of 0.67 or 0.7.

² Definition of results provided on evaluation sheets.

³ Flush fill geometry used.

⁴ Primer material used in section.

⁵ Sealant pulling away from joint face at the pavement surface. Typical depth of "failure" 1/16 to 1/8 in.

Table 5 (Concluded)

| Area/ Section | Sealant Material | Sealant Discoloration | Surface Cracking | Bubbling (Rating) ¹ | Coin Test | Adhesion Failure ² | Cohesion Failure ² | Fuel Damage ² | Debris Retention ² |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1/15 | Mobay Baysilone 960 SL | Yes | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 1/16 | Mobay Baysilone 960 | Yes | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 1/17 ⁴ | Koch Product 9005 | None | Yes | Yes (2.0) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/18 | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes (1.3) | Rejected | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/19 ³ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes (2.0) | Retained | None | None | None | None |
| 1/20 ⁴ | Crafco RoadSaver 222 | None | Yes | Yes (1.0) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/21 | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | Yes | Yes (1.0) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/22 ³ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | Yes | Yes (1.3) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/23 ⁴ | Crafco Improved Non-JFR | None | None | Yes (1.0) | Retained | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 1/24 | Crafco RoadSaver Silicone SL | None | None | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/1 | Crafco Superseal 1614A | None | Yes | Yes (0.7) | Rejected | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 2/2 | Crafco Improved JFR | Yes | Yes | Yes (0.7) | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/3 | Koch Product 9050 SL | None | Yes | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/4 | Koch Product 9020 | None | Yes | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 2/5 ⁴ | Koch Product 9012 | None | None | Yes (1.0) | Rejected | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 2/6 | Crafco Superseal 1614A | None | Yes | Yes (0.7) | Rejected | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 2/7 | Crafco Improved JFR | Yes | Yes | None | Rejected | None | None | None | None |
| 2/8 ⁴ | Koch Product 9012 | None | Yes | Yes (0.7) | Rejected | None ⁵ | None | None | None |
| 2/9 | Koch Product 9050 SL | None | Yes | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |
| 2/10 | Koch Product 9020 | None | None | None | Rejected | Few | None | None | None |

Table 6
Sealant Installation Plan

| Sealant Material | Replicate | Slab Age (in days) ¹ | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 5 | 8 | 14 | 30 |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 (unprimed joints) | 1 | 2-4 | 4-5 | 5-5 | 5-6 |
| | 2 | 3-8 | 1-1 | 3-1 | 5-8 |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 (primed joints) | 1 | 1-3 | 3-7 | 2-5 | 6-7 |
| | 2 | 6-8 | 1-6 | 6-2 | 1-8 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR (unprimed joints) | 1 | 6-6 | 2-6 | 4-3 | 5-3 |
| | 2 | 5-1 | 4-2 | 2-8 | 4-8 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR (primed joints) | 1 | 6-3 | 5-7 | 1-5 | 3-3 |
| | 2 | 4-1 | 3-5 | 3-4 | 2-2 |
| Crafco Superseal 1614A (unprimed joints) | 1 | 4-6 | 3-6 | 5-2 | 4-7 |
| | 2 | 1-4 | 6-4 | 2-1 | 1-2 |
| Crafco Improved JFR (unprimed joints) | 1 | 1-7 | 2-7 | 4-4 | 5-4 |
| | 2 | 6-1 | 6-5 | 3-2 | 2-3 |

¹ The first number refers to the longitudinal joint from 1 to 6, and the second number refers to the section number from 1 to 8.

depth of 1 in. below the pavement surface. The sealant was heated in a 1-gal container to a temperature between the pouring temperature and safe heating temperature in the laboratory. The sealant was then carried to the slab and poured into the joint. The sealant temperature was monitored, and installation into the joint was completed before the temperature fell below the pouring temperature. The sealant materials were installed with a recess of approximately 1/4 in. below the pavement surface.

After installation, the appearance of the sealants was evaluated. Specific items of interest included the quantity and size of bubbles that formed, any swelling of the sealant, and the general overall appearance of the sealant surface.

Each of the sealants were evaluated immediately after installation into the joint and then at specified intervals over a 3 month period. The ratings for each of the items was determined during the evaluation, averaged, and reported as the overall rating. Tables 8 through 11 list the data collected from these evaluations including the date each material was installed, the dates of each evaluation, and the average rating at the evaluation date. The three numbers separated by commas in the evaluation column represent the rating for the number of bubbles per foot of joint, size of bubbles, and sealant swelling, respectively.

Table 7
Weather Data at Test Slab

| Date | Time | Air Temp. (F) | Sealant Temp. (F) | Slab Temp. (F) | Humidity (%) | Weather Condition |
|---------|------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 8/6/91 | 8 | 88 | | | | S |
| | 11 | 97 | | 130 | | S |
| | 2 | 105 | 123 | 130 | | S |
| 8/7/91 | 8 | 83 | 97 | 91 | 27 | S |
| | 11 | 95 | 125 | 128 | 14 | S |
| | 2 | 105 | 129 | 136 | 11 | S |
| 8/8/91 | 8 | 83 | 130 | 99 | 32 | S |
| | 11 | 97 | 127 | 130 | 21 | S |
| | 2 | 107 | 134 | 142 | 20 | S |
| 8/9/91 | 8 | 90 | 94 | 94 | 37 | C |
| | 11 | 97 | 109 | 118 | 29 | C |
| | 2 | 99 | 110 | 119 | 28 | C |
| 8/12/91 | 8 | 81 | 84 | 90 | 64 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 98 | 118 | 131 | 34 | S |
| | 2 | 98 | 118 | 131 | 34 | S |
| 8/13/91 | 8 | 81 | 96 | 105 | 49 | S |
| | 11 | 95 | 120 | 121 | 33 | S |
| | 2 | 103 | 124 | 131 | 23 | S |
| 8/14/91 | 8 | 86 | 93 | 91 | 42 | C |
| | 11 | 94 | 106 | 115 | 32 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 101 | 122 | 135 | 28 | S |
| 8/15/91 | 8 | 86 | 96 | 106 | 51 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 93 | 110 | 127 | 29 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 101 | 124 | 134 | 28 | P.C. |
| 8/16/91 | 8 | 84 | 98 | 100 | 45 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 91 | 100 | 108 | 40 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 100 | 112 | 135 | 25 | S |
| 8/19/91 | 8 | 80 | 88 | 95 | 44 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 97 | 118 | 130 | 21 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 102 | 125 | 133 | 19 | P.C. |
| 8/20/91 | 8 | 81 | 90 | 99 | 51 | S |
| | 11 | 95 | 122 | 130 | 28 | S |
| | 2 | 103 | 132 | 144 | 21 | S |

(Continued)

Notes:

Weather: S = Sunny C = Cloudy R = Rain P.C. = Partly Cloudy

Time: 8 = 8:00 a.m. 11 = 11:00 a.m. 2 = 2:00 p.m.

Humidity is measured at the slab using a sling psychrometer.

| Table 7 (Concluded) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Date | Time | Air Temp. (F) | Sealant Temp. (F) | Slab Temp. (F) | Humidity (%) | Weather Condition |
| 8/21/91 | 8 | 88 | 92 | 93 | 38 | C |
| | 11 | 92 | 98 | 100 | 33 | C |
| | 2 | 101 | 122 | 130 | 22 | P.C. |
| 8/22/91 | 8 | 87 | 98 | 102 | 49 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 98 | 126 | 135 | 32 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 102 | 131 | 140 | 26 | S |
| 8/23/91 | 8 | 86 | 96 | 98 | 42 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 97 | 118 | 128 | 27 | P.C. |
| | 2 | 100 | 126 | 134 | 25 | P.C. |
| 8/26/91 | 8 | | | | | |
| | 11 | 96 | 122 | 134 | 33 | S |
| | 2 | 102 | 132 | 148 | 31 | S |
| 8/27/91 | 8 | 76 | 80 | 75 | 87 | R |
| | 11 | 81 | 87 | 89 | 72 | C |
| | 2 | 86 | 96 | 100 | 57 | C |
| 8/28/91 | 8 | 80 | 84 | 90 | 68 | S |
| | 11 | 93 | 118 | 100 | 38 | S |
| | 2 | 97 | 124 | 134 | 32 | S |
| 8/29/91 | 8 | 82 | 92 | 98 | 49 | S |
| | 11 | 94 | 116 | 124 | 32 | S |
| | 2 | 103 | 128 | 142 | 16 | S |
| 8/30/91 | 8 | 85 | 96 | 104 | 47 | S |
| | 11 | 98 | 120 | 134 | 13 | S |
| | 2 | 105 | 124 | 139 | 18 | P.C. |
| 9/3/91 | 8 | 83 | 96 | 88 | 59 | S |
| | 11 | 92 | 124 | 124 | 43 | S |
| | 2 | 99 | 132 | 132 | 33 | S |
| 9/4/91 | 8 | | | | | |
| | 11 | | | | | |
| | 2 | 102 | 130 | 134 | 24 | S |
| 9/5/91 | 8 | 83 | 94 | 96 | 32 | P.C. |
| | 11 | 90 | 100 | 105 | 34 | C |
| | 2 | 74 | 80 | 68 | 91 | R |
| 9/6/91 | 8 | | | | | |
| | 11 | 82 | 106 | 110 | 62 | S |
| | 2 | 89 | 118 | 128 | 41 | S |

The data indicate that the amount of bubbling which occurred in the non-JFR materials, Crafcro RoadSaver 222 and Crafcro Improved 1401 inconsistently varied depending upon the length of time that the slab had cured before sealant placement. The data also indicate that the primer application reduced the amount of initial bubbling by 14 percent for the RoadSaver 222 and 25 percent for the Improved 1401, but by the end of the test period both the primed and unprimed sections had similar overall bubble ratings. Visual observations of the primed and unprimed sections indicated that the primed sections experienced fewer and smaller bubbles, but exhibited an increase in swelling. Table 12 provides summarized bubble data for the four sealants. It is possible that the primer was not completely cured when the sealant was installed. Therefore, the swelling could have been caused by the release of solvent from the primer or the fact that the temperature during the additional study was significantly higher than the temperature during the application at Fairchild AFB. Additional work will be required on the primer formulation or application procedures before requiring it on joint sealing projects.

Table 8
Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 5 Days of Slab Curing. Installation Date was 8/6/91

| Sealant | Date | Primed | | | | | | Unprimed | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|------|--------|------|--------------------|------|----------|------|--------|------|--------------------|------|
| | | Rep. 1 | | Rep. 2 | | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg | | Rep. 1 | | Rep. 2 | | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg | |
| | | Rating | Avg. | Rating | Avg. | | | Rating | Avg. | Rating | Avg. | | |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 | 8/6 | 0,0,0 | 0.00 | 0,0,0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 8/7 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 8/9 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 8/12 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 8/15 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 9/13 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 9/26 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 10/21 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 8/6 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 0,0,0 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 3,1,1 | 1.67 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| | 8/7 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR | 8/9 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 2,1,1 | 1.33 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| | 8/12 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| | 8/15 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 2,2,2 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| | 9/13 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 2,2,2 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| | 9/26 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 2,2,2 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| | 10/21 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 2,2,2 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

(Continued)

Note: Primer is a spray application of Primer RS-12.

Table 8 (Concluded)

| Sealant | Date | Primed | | | | | | Unprimed | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|--------|------|--|--------|------|--|----------|------|--|--------|------|--------------------|
| | | Rep. 1 | | | Rep. 2 | | | Rep. 1 | | | Rep. 2 | | |
| | | Rating | Avg. | | Rating | Avg. | | Rating | Avg. | | Rating | Avg. | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg |
| Crafco Superseal 1614A | 8/6 | | | | | | | 2,1,0 | 1.00 | | 3,2,0 | 2.00 | 1.34 |
| | 8/7 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 8/9 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 2,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 8/12 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 8/15 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 3,2,0 | 1.67 | | 3,3,0 | 1.00 | 1.84 |
| Crafco Improved JFR | 8/6 | | | | | | | 2,1,0 | 1.00 | | 2,1,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 8/7 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,1,0 | 1.33 | 1.17 |
| | 8/9 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 8/12 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 8/15 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,0,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |

Table 10
Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 14 Days of Slab Curing. Installation Date was 8/15/91

| Sealant | Date | Primed | | | | | | Unprimed | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|------|------------|------|---------------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|---------------------|------|
| | | Rep. 1 | | Rep. 2 | | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg. | | Rep. 1 | | Rep. 2 | | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg. | |
| | | Evaluation | Avg. | Evaluation | Avg. | | | Evaluation | Avg. | Evaluation | Avg. | | |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 | 8/19 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 8/22 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 8/27 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 9/13 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 9/26 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| | 10/21 | 2,2,2 | 2.00 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.84 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR | 8/19 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | 8/22 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | 8/27 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,3 | 2.33 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | 9/13 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,3 | 2.33 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| | 9/26 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,3 | 2.33 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3,3,1 | 2.33 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.17 |
| | 10/21 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,3 | 2.33 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3,3,1 | 2.33 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.17 |
| Crafco Superseal 1614A | 8/19 | | | | | | | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 8/22 | | | | | | | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 8/27 | | | | | | | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Crafco Improved JFR | 8/19 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | 8/22 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | 8/27 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.00 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0,1,0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |

Table 11
Joint Bubble Condition Rating for Sealants Installed After 30 Days of Slab Curing. Installation Date was 8/30/91

| Sealant | Date | Primed | | | | | | Unprimed | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|---------------------|
| | | Rep. 1 | | | Rep. 2 | | | Rep. 1 | | | Rep. 2 | | |
| | | Evaluation | Avg. | | Evaluation | Avg. | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg. | Evaluation | Avg. | | Evaluation | Avg. | Rep. 1, Rep. 2 Avg. |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 | 9/3 | 1,1,0 | 0.67 | 1,1,1 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 9/13 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1,2,1 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| | 9/26 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,1 | 1,2,1 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| | 10/21 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,1 | 1,2,1 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2,2,1 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR | 9/3 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,1,1 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | 9/13 | 1,1,1 | 1.00 | 1,2,1 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.17 | 3,2,1 | 2.00 | 1,2,1 | 1,2,1 | 1.33 | 1.67 |
| | 9/26 | 1,3,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,2 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 3,3,2 | 2.67 | 2,2,1 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2.17 |
| | 10/21 | 1,3,1 | 1.67 | 1,2,2 | 1,2,2 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 3,3,2 | 2.67 | 2,2,1 | 2,2,1 | 1.67 | 2.17 |
| Crafco Supersal 1614A | 9/3 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1,2,0 | 1,2,0 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| Crafco Improved JFR | 9/3 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 9/13 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 9/26 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| | 10/21 | | | | | | | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 2,2,0 | 2,2,0 | 1.33 | 1.33 |

| Table 12 Initial Bubble Rating Versus Final Bubble Rating | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Sealant Material | Rating | | Percent Change |
| | After 3 days | At 10/21/91 | |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 (unprimed joints) | 1.21 | 1.63 | + 34.7 |
| Crafco RoadSaver 222 (primed joints) | 1.04 | 1.67 | + 60.6 |
| Crafco Improved 1401 (unprimed joints) | 1.50 | 2.05 | + 36.7 |
| Crafco Improved Non-JFR (primed joints) | 1.12 | 1.92 | + 71.4 |
| Crafco Superseal 1614A (unprimed joints) | 1.25 | 1.29 | + 3.2 |
| Crafco Improved JFR (unprimed joints) | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.0 |

6 Conclusions and Recommendations

The objectives of the overall project were to develop specification limits for hot-applied non-JFR and JFR sealants that would exhibit improved performance characteristics over currently used materials, develop a primer system that would minimize the bubbling tendencies of hot-applied sealants and improve the sealant's adhesion to PCC, and to determine if a flush fill geometry would provide increased performance life versus the current practice of recessing the sealant 1/8 to 1/4 in. below the pavement surface. The specific objective of the field evaluation phase of the project was to obtain actual field data to determine if the overall objectives had been met.

During the sealant installation at Fairchild AFB, WA, it was very apparent that the joint sealant manufacturers prefer joints that are hospital clean when their materials are being evaluated. This preference was demonstrated when two of the manufacturers requested that residual sealant be removed from the joints before their sealant was installed. They apparently believe that better field performance can be obtained when the joints are perfectly clean. Based on this information, the guidance provided in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Guide Specifications concerning joint preparation and joint cleanliness should not be changed.

The primer system that was developed to minimize the bubbling tendencies of hot-applied sealants should not be incorporated into project specifications at this time. The primer appeared to reduce initial bubbling but this advantage was negated within 2 months after sealant installation. Additional work was conducted by Crafcro to eliminate potential swelling problems created by solvent release from the primer and to determine if the initial reduction in bubbling can be extended to long term performance.

None of the sealant materials exhibited failure after the 6-month and 1-year evaluations. The hot-applied, non-JFR sealants appeared to have the most defects, and the defect most commonly noted was bubbling. The bubbling that had occurred did not appear to be affecting the sealant's performance, but the potential for failure exists. The amount of bubbling had increased at the 1-year evaluations, and a rating system was developed to allow quantitative comparison between the materials. The average overall bubble rating from the field evaluations indicates that the hot-applied, non-JFR sealants exhibit

more bubbling than the hot-applied, JFR sealants. The use of a primer did not appear to have a significant affect on the bubbling.

The average bubble ratings from the field were less than those obtained during the additional bubble study conducted by Crafc. This difference could have been caused by the amount of moisture in the new concrete used in the test section as compared to the moisture content of the old concrete used for the field evaluations.

The adhesion failures had increased between the 6-month and 1-year evaluations. None of the adhesion failures were greater than one percent of the total linear footage and most of them appeared to initiate in areas where some of the old joint sealant had been left on the joint face. Several of the hot-applied sealants had begun to peel away from the joint face at the pavement surface, but the depth of the failure was only 1/16 to 1/8 in. These areas were not classified as adhesion failures because they were not full depth.

Only one small area in Area 2, Section 5 had received any fuel spillage. The total surface area of the spill was approximately 1 sq ft. The sealant used in this section was Koch Product 9012, and it did not experience any failures related to the fuel spillage.

The cold-applied, single- and two-component sealants appear to be performing better than the hot-applied sealants. There are differences between the moduli of the cold-applied sealants as indicated by the coin test but since all of the materials appear to be performing satisfactorily, no conclusions were made concerning which material would be best.

The hot-applied, JFR sealants appeared to be performing better than the hot-applied, non-JFR sealants. This observation was based on the amount of bubbling experienced in the non-JFR sealants. Even though there is a perception of better performance of one type of sealant versus another, none of the in-place sealants could be classified as failed.

Several conclusions and recommendations can be made from this study:

- a. All of the sealants installed at Fairchild AFB performed satisfactorily for at least 1 year. It is recommended that additional evaluations be conducted to determine the actual life cycle of these sealants. The evaluations would enable the enhanced performance claims of the "improved" non-JFR and JFR sealants developed during this project to be determined.
- b. The adhesion failures that are evident appear to have been caused by residual material on the joint face. Therefore, the requirements for joint preparation and cleanliness as described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Guide Specification (CEGS) 02592 "Field Molded Sealants for Sealing Joints in Rigid Pavements" appear to be justified. It is recommended that current guide specifications continue to require "hospital" clean joints. Most manufacturers require extensive cleaning of

the joint before they will warranty their material and the field data indicate that sealant performance related to adhesion is improved with this amount of cleaning. The inclusion and enforcement of the strict joint preparation procedures by other user agencies should significantly increase the effective life of the sealant. Additionally, including the "improved" sealants for projects located in colder climates could provide an even greater effective sealant life. The draft specifications have been discussed in ASTM task groups. This is the first step to have them included in ASTM standards.

- c. The bubbling problem exhibited by hot-applied sealants specifically non-JFR sealants has not been eliminated. The development of the primer system exhibits significant promise, but there are still problem areas that need to be resolved. It is recommended that work continues in this area to determine if the bubbling tendencies can be eliminated. The draft specification has been included in this report to provide other researchers with a potential starting point to address the bubbling problem.
- d. The cold-applied, single-component sealants appear to be performing satisfactorily in the field. However, there is a problem if a user agency wants to specify one of these sealants. The problem is that there is not an industry accepted material specification that can be used in project specifications to allow their use. The American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) is currently working on such a specification, but work on the specification has been slow. It is recommended that some type of test or series of tests be developed that would evaluate the applicability of specific sealants to specific applications.

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. 1990. "Concrete Joint-Sealer, Hot-Poured, Elastic Type," AASHTO M 173-84 Washington, DC.

American Society for Testing and Materials. 1978. "Joint Sealants, Hot Poured for Concrete and Asphalt Pavements," ASTM D 3405-78, Philadelphia, PA.

_____. 1980. "Concrete Joint Sealer, Hot-Poured Elastic Type," ASTM D 1190-74 (Reapproved 1980), Philadelphia, PA.

_____. 1990. "Joint Sealant, Hot Poured, Jet-Fuel-Resistant Type for Portland Cement Concrete and Tar-Concrete Pavements," ASTM D 3581-90, Philadelphia, PA.

_____. 1991. "Joint Sealant, Hot-Applied, Elastomeric, Jet-Fuel-Resistant Type for Portland Cement Concrete Pavements," ASTM D 3569-91, Philadelphia, PA.

Burr, I. W. 1974. "Applied Statistical Methods," Academic Press, Inc., New York.

Federal Specification SS-S-1401C. 1984a (Aug). "Sealant, Joint, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant, Hot-Applied for Portland Cement and Asphalt Concrete Pavements," Washington, DC.

Federal Specification SS-S-1614A. 1984b (Aug). "Sealants, Joint, Jet-Fuel-Resistant, Hot-Applied, for Portland Cement and Tar Concrete Pavements," Washington, DC.

Federal Specification SS-S-200E. 1988 (Aug). "Sealants, Joint, Two-Component, Jet-Blast-Resistant, Cold-Applied for Portland Cement Concrete Pavement," Washington, DC.

Iowa Department of Transportation. 1984. "Standard Specifications for Highway and Bridge Construction," Section 4136.02, Ames, IA.

Kansas Department of Transportation. 1986 (Jul). "Specification for Hot-Applied Crack Sealant," Specification TS 109.6, Topeka, KS.

Lynch, Larry N., White, Dewey W., and Chehovits, James. (1993). "Improved Materials and Processes for Sealing and Resealing Joints in Portland Cement Concrete Pavements-Laboratory Evaluation," Construction Productivity Advancement Research Program, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Michigan Department of Transportation. 1987 (Mar). "Special Provision for Low-Modulus Hot-Poured Joint Sealant," Bureau of Highways, Lansing, MI.

Minnesota Department of Transportation. 1988. "Standard Specifications for Construction," Section 3723, Concrete Joint and Crack Sealer (Hot-Poured Elastic Type), St. Paul, MN.

Missouri Highway and Transportation Commission. 1988. "Missouri Standard Specification for Highway Construction," Section 1057.1.3, Concrete Joint Sealer, Hot-Poured Elastic Type, Jefferson City, MO.

South Dakota Department of Transportation. (undated). "Minimum Specification for Rubber Asphalt and Hot-Poured Elastic Joint Sealer," Pierre, SD.

_____. 1985. "Standard Specifications for Roads and Bridges," Section 870. Concrete Joint Sealer, Pierre, SD.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Guide Specification for Military Construction. 1991 (April). "Field Molded Sealants for Joint Sealing in Rigid Pavements," U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntsville Division, Bulletin Board (205) 955-5436, P.O. Box 1600, Huntsville, AL 35807.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Handbook of Concrete and Cement. 1992. "Standard Specification for Joint Sealants, Cold-Applied, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant for Rigid and Flexible Pavements," CRD-C 527-88, Washington, DC.




Figure 1. Area 1 sealant layout




Figure 2. Area 2 sealant layout




Figure 3. Location of test areas at Fairchild AFB

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA SECTION JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: SEALANT MATERIAL:

SCALE:
1 DIV. = 5 FT

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS:


SEALING JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 4. Field evaluation sheet

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AF3

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 1 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222, STANDARD
FILL (1/4-1/8 IN. RECESS)



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: SURFACING CRACKS PRESENT IN SEALANT ALONG WITH SURFACE BUBBLES - SOME
AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN THOUGH SWEEPED
SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER



COIN TEST - SEALANT DIDN'T REJECT COIN

SC

SURFACE CRACKS IN SEALANT



SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 5. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 1


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 2 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222,
FLUSH FILL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEPED SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER, SURFACE BUBBLES PRESENT IN
SEVERAL JOINTS.

☒ SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION O - SEALANT RETAINED COIN
 A - SNOW PLOW ABRASION X - SURFACE BUBBLES IN SEALANT

Figure 6. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 2


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 3 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222 - JOINTS
PRIMED PRIOR TO POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEPED SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER SURFACE BUBBLES AND BUBBLES
TO BACKER ROD WHERE NOTED

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION SEALANT RETAINED COIN
XX - BUBBLES TO BACKER ROD X - SURFACE BUBBLES IN SEALANT

Figure 7. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 3


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 4 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON JFR
STANDARD FILL (1/4-1/8 IN RECESS)



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEP SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER, SURFACE BUBBLES AND SEPARATION
OF SEALANT FROM CONCRETE WHERE NOTED


- A - 1/8 IN. ADHESIVE FAILURE - (PULL AWAY ON SURFACE)
- B - 1/8 IN. ADHESIVE FAILURE - (PULL AWAY ON SURFACE)
- C - 1/8 IN. ADHESIVE FAILURE - (PULL AWAY ON SURFACE)
- o - SEALANT RETAINED COIN, HOWEVER WENT IN EASY
- ===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION
- o - SEALANT RETAINED COIN
- X - SURFACE BUBBLES IN SEALANT

Figure 8. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 4

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 5 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR,
FLUSH FILL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEPED SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER. SURFACE BUBBLES AND ADHESION
SEPARATION NOTED.

O - SEALANT RETAINED COIN

A - SEALANT NOT ADHERED TO CONCRETE

B - 3-IN. ADHESION FAILURE

C - 3-IN. ADHESION FAILURE

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

D - SNOW PLOW DAMAGE

X - SURFACE BUBBLES IN SEALANT

Figure 9. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 5


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 6 JOINT WIDTH: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR JOINTS
PRIMED PRIOR TO POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEPED SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER. SURFACE BUBBLES AND ADHESION
SEPARATION NOTED IN SEALANT.

0 - SEALANT RETAINED COIN

A - ADHESION FAILURE

B - 1/8 IN. SURFACE PULLED AWAY IN SEALANT

X - SOME BUBBLING IN SEALANT

X1 - MAJOR BUBBLING IN SEALANT

Y - BUBBLES IN SEALANT TO 1/2-IN. DIAMETER

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 10. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 6


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 7 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER SILICONE



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: SOME AREAS WITH SEALANT PARTIALLY COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW EVEN
THOUGH SWEEPED SEVERAL TIMES WITH POWER SWEEPER

O - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

SEALANT JOINTS IN SECTION


A - PAINT OVER JOINT SEALANT

Figure 11. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 7

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 8 JOINT WIDTH, SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: MOBAY BAYSILONE 960



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: SEALANT DISCOLORED OVER ALL JOINTS IN SECTION.

O - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

A - 1-IN. ADHESION FAILURE - OLD JOINT SEALANT IN JOINT

B - 3-IN. ADHESION FAILURE - OLD JOINT SEALANT IN JOINT

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 12. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 8


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 9 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL MOBAY BAYSILONE 960
SELF-LEVELING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT DISCOLORED IN AREAS NOTED

O - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

A - SEALANT PAINTED

B - SEALANT DISCOLORATION


Figure 13. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 9

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 10 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9005/SELECTED

JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS:

- JOINTS PRIMED PRIOR TO POURING SEALANT
- SEALANT RETAINED COIN
- SOME OF THIS JOINT COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW
- SEALANT CONTAINED SMALL BUBBLES
- SEALANT CONTAINED MANY BUBBLES
- SEALANT PULLED AWAY FROM CONCRETE
- SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION
- SEALANT PULLED AWAY FROM CONCRETE - OLD SEALANT IN JOINT

Figure 14. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 10


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 11 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" SHAPE FACTOR 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL DOW CORNING 902 RCS



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS

○ - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

A - SEALANT PAINTED


===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION B - SEALANT COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW

Figure 15. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 11

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 12 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: DOW CORNING 890 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: _____

O - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

A - 1-1/2 INCHES ADHESION FAILURE - OLD SEALANT IN JOINT

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 16. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 12


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 13 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: DOW CORNING 890 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: _____

Figure 17. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 13


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 14 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: DOW CORNING 902 RCS



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: _____








-  - SEALANT WITH DOW CORNING 890 SL
-  - SEALANT REJECTED COIN
-  A - JOINT APPROXIMATELY 1-IN. WIDE
-  B - SEALANT PAINTED
-  SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION
-  C - JOINT COVERED WITH SNOW AND ICE
-  D - 2-IN. ADHESION FAILURE

Figure 18. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 14


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 15 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: MOBAY BAYSILONE 960 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: SEALANT IN ALL JOINTS DISCOLORED.

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 19. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 15


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 16 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: MOBAY BAYSILONE 960



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: SEALANT DISCOLORATION IN JOINTS.

☒ - TIE DOWN

☐ - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

☒ - SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

A - SEALANT PAINTED

B - SEALANT COVERED WITH ICE AND SNOW

Figure 20. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 16


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 17 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9005



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS _____

JOINTS PRIMED WITH ASPHALT HOT APPLIED PRIMER

- MAN HOLE

A - 1/8 IN. PULLED AWAY IN SEALANT

- TIE DOWN

B - 1/8 IN PULLED AWAY - ALL OF JOINT

- SEALANT RETAINED COIN - HARD TO PUSH IN

C - SURFACE CRACKS AND BUBBLES

- SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

D - MAJOR BUBBLING IN SEALANT

- SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

E - LARGE BUBBLES

Figure 21. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 17


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 18 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222, STANDARD FILL (1/8-1/4 IN RECESS)



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: GENERAL SURFACE CRACKS AND BUBBLING IN AREAS NOTED

O - SEALANT REJECTED COIN (SLOWLY)

A - SEALANT PAINTED

B - SURFACE BUBBLING

C - SEALANT BUBBLING

SEALANT JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 22. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 18


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 19 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" .1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% <input type="checkbox"/> | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% <input type="checkbox"/> | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% <input type="checkbox"/> | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% <input type="checkbox"/> |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% <input type="checkbox"/> | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% <input type="checkbox"/> | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% <input type="checkbox"/> | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% <input type="checkbox"/> |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% <input type="checkbox"/> | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% <input type="checkbox"/> | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% <input type="checkbox"/> | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% <input type="checkbox"/> |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% <input type="checkbox"/> | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% <input type="checkbox"/> | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% <input type="checkbox"/> | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% <input type="checkbox"/> |

REMARKS _____

☒ SEALANT RETAINED COIN

A - SURFACE BUBBLES IN SEALANT

B - ABRASION DAMAGE

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

C - BUBBLES FOR DISTANCE OF 1-1/2 FT
1/8-1/4 IN. IN DIAMETER

Figure 23. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 19


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 20 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222, JOINTS
PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: _____


A - MANY BUBBLES IN SEALANT

B - MANY BUBBLES IN SEALANT, 1/8-1/4 IN. DIAMETER

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION C - SOME BUBBLES 1/2-IN. IN DIAMETER

Figure 24. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 20

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB
SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 21 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED NON JFR
STANDARD FILL (1/4-1/8 IN. RECESS)



| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |
| REMARKS ICE/SNOW SLUSH ON ALL JOINTS. | | | | | | | |

O. SEALANT RETAINED COIN

A - SEALANT JOINTS ABOUT 1 IN WIDE

 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

B - SEALANT CONTAIN BUBBLES

Figure 25. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 21

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION


SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 22 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SCALE SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR,

FLUSH FILL



SEALANT FAILURES

ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

REMARKS: SURFACE BUBBLING OVER ALL JOINTS

O - SEALANT RETAIN COIN

A - SEALANT HAS SURFACE CRACKING

B - SNOW PLOW ABRASION ON SEALANT

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

C - SMALL AGGREGATE PULL AWAY FROM
PAVEMENT ALLOWING MOISTURE IN

Figure 26. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 22


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 23 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" .1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR,
AND ROADSAVER SILICONE



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: A - BUBBLES (1/4 IN. DIAMETER) DOWN TO BACKER ROD, A, B & C - CONSIDERABLE BUBBLING IN SEALANT, 8-10 PER FOOT OF SEALANT.

☐ - SEALANT (CRAFCO ROADSAVER SILICONE) REJECTED COIN

☐ - JOINTS WITH CRAFCO ROADSAVER SILICONE SEALANT

☒ - SEALANT (CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR) RETAINED COIN

☐ SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 27. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 23


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 24 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER SILICONE AND IMPROVED NON-JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: CONSIDERABLE BUBBLING IN CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR SEALANT 8-10 PER FOOT OF SEALANT.

- JOINTS SEALED WITH CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR
- ROADSAVER SILICONE REJECTED COIN
- ROADSAVER IMPROVED NON-JFR RETAINED COIN
- SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 28. Six-month field evaluation - area 1 section 24


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 1 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" SHAPE FACTOR 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO SUPERSEAL 1611A



SEALANT FAILURES

ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

REMARKS: SNOW/ICE IN MOST JOINTS - SEALANT CONTAINED SOME SURFACE CRACKS.

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

SEALANT RETAINED COIN

Figure 29. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 1


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 2 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS: MOST JOINTS WERE COVERED WITH SNOW AND ICE - SURFACE CRACKS CONTAINED IN MOST SEALANT JOINTS.

○ - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

⊘ - SEALANT RETAINED COIN

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

A - BUBBLES IN SEALANT MATERIAL IN THIS JOINT

Figure 30. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 2


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 3 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9050 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS ICE AND SNOW IN MOST JOINTS

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

- SEALANT SLOWLY REJECTED COIN

Figure 31. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 3


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 4 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9020 AND CRAFCO
SUPERSEAL 1614A



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: * NOT ENOUGH PRODUCT 9020 SEALANT TO SEAL THIS AREA - CRAFCO SUPERSEAL 1614A USED TO SEAL JOINT - ICE AND SNOW IN MOST JOINTS.

○ - SEALANT REJECTED COIN

A - MINOR SURFACE CRACKS IN SEALANT

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION B - 1 - IN. ADHESION FAILURE - NEW SEALANT PLACED
OVER OLD SEALANT

Figure 32. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 4

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION FAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION


SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 5 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9012/SELECTED

JOINTS PRIMED



SEALANT FAILURES

ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

REMARKS: ICE AND SNOW IN MOST JOINTS.

* - JOINTS PRIMED

○ - SEALANT SLOWLY REJECTED COIN

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

A - SURFACE BUBBLING IN SEALANT

Figure 33 Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 5


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 6 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO SUPERSEAL 1614A



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: ICE AND SNOW IN SOME JOINTS- SURFACE CRACKING PRESENT IN SEALANT JOINTS.

O - SEALANT RETAINED COIN

A - ADHESION FAILURE

Figure 34. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 6


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 7 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <11% | FEW | <11% | FEW | <11% | FEW | <11% |
| FREQUENT | 11-50% | FREQUENT | 11-50% | FREQUENT | 11-50% | FREQUENT | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | >50% | EXTENSIVE | >50% | EXTENSIVE | >50% | EXTENSIVE | >50% |
| COMPLETE | 100% | COMPLETE | 100% | COMPLETE | 100% | COMPLETE | 100% |

REMARKS ICE IN MOST JOINTS

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

SEALANT SLOWLY REJECTED COIN

Figure 35. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 7

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION


SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 8 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9012/SFLECTED

JOINT PRIMED



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS COIN COULD NOT BE INSERTED IN SEALANT - ICE IN MOST JOINTS - SURFACE CRACKING IN SEALANT IN SOME JOINTS - SURFACE BUBBLING IN SEALANT



SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION


* JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING

Figure 36. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 8

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 9 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9050 SI



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS ICE IN MOST JOINTS - SURFACE CRACKING IN SEALANT IN SOME AREAS BUT EXTENT NOT KNOWN AS ICE OVER JOINTS

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

SEALANT RETAINED COIN

Figure 37. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 9


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 10 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: SIX MONTH EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JANUARY 22, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9020



SEALANT FAILURES

ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%

FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%

EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%

COMPLETE ☐ 100%

REMARKS: ICE COVERED JOINTS



SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION




SEALANT REJECTED COIN

Figure 38. Six-month field evaluation - area 2 section 10

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 1 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222 WITH 1/8-1/4" RECESS



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS ☒ - TOTAL OF 1 FOOT ADHESION FAILURE, 0 SEALANT RETAINED COIN

HOWEVER IT APPEARED ELASTIC, SEALANT CONTAINED FEW BUBBLES WITH DIAMETER
 GREATER THAN 1/8", NO SWELLING

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES - 1
 BUBBLE SIZE - 1
 SWELLING - 0
 2
 OVERALL RATING - 2/3 = 0.7

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 39. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 1

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION


SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 2 JOINT WIDTH: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222

FLUSH FILL



SEALANT FAILURES

ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%
FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%
EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%
COMPLETE ☐ 100%

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%
FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%
EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%
COMPLETE ☐ 100%

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%
FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%
EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%
COMPLETE ☐ 100%

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

FEW ☐ <11%
FREQUENT ☐ 11-50%
EXTENSIVE ☐ >50%
COMPLETE ☐ 100%

REMARKS: LARGE NUMBER OF BUBBLES, UP TO 10 PER FOOT OF SEALANT, BUBBLE DIAMETER WAS GREATER THAN 1/8 IN. IN DIAMETER, CONSIDERABLE SWELLING OF SEALANT, SOME AREAS SEALANT DAMAGED BY SNOW PLOW DURING WINTER.

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES = 2

BUBBLE SIZE = 3

SWELLING = 2

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

7

OVERALL RATING = $7/3 = 2.33$

Figure 40. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 2


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 3 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" SHAPE FACTOR 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222 JOINTS
PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES


| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: SEALANT HAS PULLED AWAY FROM PCC, APPROXIMATELY 1/16-1/8 IN., BUBBLES
6 PER FOOT OF SEALANT WITH A DIAMETER GREATER THAN 1/4 IN., SEALANT RETAINED THE
COIN, SWELLING APPROXIMATELY 1/4 INCH

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES = 2

BUBBLE SIZE = 3

SWELLING = 2

 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

7

OVERALL RATING = $7/3 = 2.33$

Figure 41. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 3


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 4 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR,
RECESSED 1/4-1/8 INCH



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS NUMBER OF BUBBLES VARIED FROM 2-4 PER FOOT OF SEALANT AND HAD A
DIAMETER GREATER THAN 1/4 INCH. SEALANT SURFACE SEPARATED 1/16-1/8 INCH. SEALANT
REJECTED COIN.

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES = 1

BUBBLE SIZE = 3

SWELLING = 2

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

6


OVERALL RATING = 6/3 = 2

Figure 42. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 4

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 5 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS BUBBLES FROM 1-2 PER FOOT WERE PRESENT WITH SOME BUBBLES HAVING A
DIAMETER GREATER THAN 1/4 IN. SOME SWELLING ALONG WITH SURFACE CRACKS IN THE
SEALANT, NO INCREASE IN ADHESION FAILURE FROM THE 6 MO. EVALUATION. THE ADHESION
FAILURE WAS NOT AS APPARENT WITH THE JOINTS CLOSED.

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES = 3

BUBBLE SIZE = 3

SWELLING = 0

SEALANT JOINTS IN SECTION

6

OVERALL RATING = 6/3 = 2

Figure 43. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 5


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 6 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO IMPROVED NON-JFR JOINTS
PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS BUBBLES PER FOOT RANGED FROM 2-5 WITH A DIAMETER GREATER THAN
1/4 IN., 1/16 IN. - 1/8 IN. SEALANT SEPARATION FROM PCC IN MANY AREAS, SOME SWELLING
GREATER THAN 1/4 IN. - NO INCREASE IN SEALANT SEPARATION FROM 6-MONTH EVALUATION.

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES = 2

BUBBLE SIZE = 3

SWELLING = 3


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

OVERALL RATING = $8/3 = 2.67$

Figure 44. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 6

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB
 SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 7 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAYER SILICONE



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN. ☐ BACKER ROD FLOATED IN SEALANT. NO OTHER
DEFECTS NOTED.

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 45. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 7


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 8 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: MOBAY BAY'SILONE 960



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: NO INCREASE IN SEALANT ADHESION FAILURE FROM 6-MONTH EVALUATION. SEALANT DISCOLORED IN AREAS WHERE OLD SEALANT NOT COMPLETELY REMOVED. SEALANT REJECTED COIN AND APPEARS TO HAVE HIGHER MODULUS THAN SELF LEVELING SEALANTS

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 46. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 8


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 9 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL MOBAY BAYSILONE 360
SELF-LEVELING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: ○ - 1/16 IN. SEPARATION OF SEALANT FROM CONCRETE, SEALANT REJECTED COIN.
SEALANT DISCOLORATION IN AREA WHERE OLD SEALANT NOT COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM
JOINT. A - SEALANT SWELLING 1/8-1/4 IN. B - 1/8" DIAMETER BUBBLES (SURFACE) FOR APPROXI-
MATELY 4 FT., 10-15 BUBBLES IN THIS AREA

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 1

SWELLING - 2

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

4


OVERALL RATING - 4/3 = 1.33

Figure 47. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 9

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 10 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS NOTED)
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9005 WITH SELECTED JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |


REMARKS: SEALANT RETAINED COIN, BUBBLES 1/8-1/4 IN. IN DIAMETER, AVERAGED ABOUT 5 PER FOOT OF SEALANT. SEALANT SEPARATION FROM CONCRETE APPROXIMATELY 1/8 IN. SOME SURFACE CRACKING, NO INCREASE IN ADHESION FAILURE FROM 6 MONTH EVALUATION

 JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING
 BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES - 2
 BUBBLES SIZE - 2
 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION SWELLING - 0

OVERALL RATING = 4/3 = 1.33

Figure 48. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 10

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB
SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 11 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL DOW CORNING 902 RCS



ADHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------|
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

COHESION FAILURE

YES ☐ NO ☒

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------|
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

FUEL DAMAGE

YES ☐ NO ☒

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------|
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

DEBRIS RETENTION

YES ☐ NO ☒

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------|
| FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN, SOME SEALANT DISCOLORATION.

Q - NO BACKER ROD OR SEALANT HAS SUNK INTO JOINT


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 49. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 11

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 12 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: DOW CORNING 890 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: SEALANT REJECTED COIN: ○ - BACKER ROD EXPOSED; □ - 2-INCH ADHESION
FAILURE CAUSED BY RESIDUAL SEALANT ON JOINT FACE


 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 50. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 12


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 13 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL DOW CORNING 890 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN; SOME SEALANT DISCOLORATION

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 51. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 13


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 14 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: DOW CORNING 902 RCS AND 890 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: ☐ - 2-INCH ADHESION FAILURE, SEALANT REJECTED COIN. () JOINTS, SEALED WITH DOW CORNING 890 SL. A - ONE BUBBLE PER FOOT, 1/8 IN. DIAMETER

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 1

SWELLING - 0

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION


OVERALL RATING 2/3 = 0.66

Figure 52. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 14

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 15 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" x 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL MOBAY BAYSILONE 960 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN. SOME SEALANT DISCOLORATION.


 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 53. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 15


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 16 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: MOBAY BAYSILONE 960



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS: ○ - 2 INCH ADHESION FAILURE. RESIDUAL SEALANT ON JOINT FACE WHERE FAILURE OCCURRED; SEALANT DISCOLORATION



 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 54. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 16

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 17 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9005 WITH SELECTED JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS () JOINTS PRIMED WITH HOT APPLIED ASPHALT PRIMER, SEALANT REJECTED COIN
 1/16-1/8 IN SEALANT SEPARATION FROM PAVEMENT SURFACE, 2-3 BUBBLES PER FOOT OF
 SEALANT, 1/4 IN BUBBLE DIAMETER, SWELLING IN EXCESS OF 1/4 IN SOME SURFACE CRACK-
 ING, NO DIFFERENCE IN PRIMED AND UNPRIMED JOINTS. SEALANT TACKY BENEATH SURFACE

BUBBLE RATING: NO. OF BUBBLE - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 2

SWELLING - 3

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

OVERALL RATING 6/3 = 2.0

Figure 55. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 17


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 18 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO ROADSAVER 2:2, STANDARD
FILL - 1/8 - 1/4 IN. RECESS



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT RETAINED COIN. BUBBLES, 1-3 PER FOOT OF SEALANT, 1/4 IN. DIAMETER;
1/16-1/8 IN. SEALANT SEPARATION FROM CONCRETE FACE.

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES - 2

BUBBLE SIZE - 2

SWELLING - 0

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

OVERALL RATING - 4/3 = 1.3

Figure 56. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 18


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 19 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" SHALE FACTOR 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAF CO ROAD SAVER 222, FLUSH FILL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT RETAINED COIN, 2-5 BUBBLES, 1/4 IN. DIAMETER PER FOOT OF SEALANT.
1/4 IN. SWELLING OF SEALANT, SURFACE CRACKING OF SEALANT

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES - 2

BUBBLE SIZE - 2

SWELLING - 2

OVERALL RATING = 6/3 = 2



 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 57. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 19

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 20 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFCO ROADSAVER 222 JOINTS
PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: SEALANT RETAINED COIN; 3-4, 1/4 IN DIA BUBBLES PER FOOT OF SEALANT;
1/16 - 1/8 IN. SEPARATION OF SEALANT FROM CONCRETE; SURFACE CRACKING.

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 2

SWELLING - 0

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

OVERALL RATING = 3/3 = 1

Figure 58. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 20


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 21 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FIELD DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT RETAINED COIN; 1-2 - 1/4 IN DIAMETER BUBBLES PER FOOT. SEALANT
SURFACE CRACKING; 1/16 - 1/8 IN. SEALANT SEPARATION FROM CONCRETE

BUBBLE RATING NO. OF BUBBLES 1

BUBBLE SIZE 2

SWELLING 0


OVERALL 3/3 = 1

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 59. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 21

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB
 SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 22 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON JFR WITH FLUSH FILL JOINTS



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT RETAINED IN JOINTS. 1.4 IN. DIAMETER BUBBLES SEEN IN PLACE
CRACKING, 1.6 INCH SEPARATION OF SEALANT FROM CONCRETE IN SOME JOINTS

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES - 2
 BUBBLE SIZE - 2
 SWELLING - 0
 OVERALL RATING = 4/3 = 1.3

Figure 60. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 22


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 1 SECTION 23 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" - 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED NON JFR AND SILICONE



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DERRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS ROADSAVER SILICONE SEALANT: O - COIN RETAINED IN NON JFR SEALANT

ROADSAVER SILICONE REJECTED COIN. NO DEFECTS IN ROADSAVER SILICONE. 1/16 - 1/8 IN SEALANT SEPARATION FROM CONCRETE IN NON JFR AREAS. 1-2, 1/4 INCH DIAMETER BUBBLES PER FOOT IN NON JFR SEALANT.

BUBBLE RATING - NO OF BUBBLES - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 2

SWELLING 0

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION


OVERALL RATING - 3/3 = 1

Figure 61. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 23

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 1 SECTION 24 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: CRAFTCO ROADSAVER SILICONE AND IMPROVED NON-JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS (- ROADSAVER SILICONE SEALANT REJECTED COIN; IMPROVED NON-JFR SEALANT RETAINED COIN, 1-4, 1/8 IN. DIAMETER BUBBLES PER FOOT IN NON-JFR SEALANT.

BUBBLE RATING - NO. OF BUBBLES - 1

SIZE OF BUBBLES - 1

SWELLING - 0

SEALANT JOINTS IN SECTION

OVERALL RATING 2/3 = 0.67

Figure 62. One-year field evaluation - area 1 section 24


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 1 JOINT WIDTH 3/4" SHAPE FACTOR 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO SUPERSEAL 1614A



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | FEW | <input type="checkbox"/> <11% |
| FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | FREQUENT | <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | EXTENSIVE | <input type="checkbox"/> >50% |
| COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | COMPLETE | <input type="checkbox"/> 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN. 1/16 IN SEPRATION OF SEALANT FROM
CONCRETE SURFACE. SOME SURFACE BUBBLING. 1/8 IN DIAMETER OF BUBBLES.
SOME SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING
BUBBLE RATING NO. OF BUBBLES - 1 OVERALL RATING = 2/3 = 0.67
BUBBLE SIZE - 1
SWELLING - 0

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 63. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 1


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 2 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN, SEALANT DISCOLORED, LESS THAN ONE
BUBBLE PER FOOT ON ISOLATED JOINTS, SOME SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING

| | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| BUBBLE RATING | NO OF BUBBLES - 1 | OVERALL RATING = 2/3 = 0.67 |
| | BUBBLE SIZE - 1 | |
| | SWELLING - 0 | |
| | | |
| | | |


☒ SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 64. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 2

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 3 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9050 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN. SOME SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING.


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 65. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 3

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 4 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9020



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: O - 9 INCHES OF ADHESION FAILURE CAUSED BY RESIDUAL SEALANT
IN JOINT; SOME SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING

* THIS AREA SEALED WITH CRAFCO SUPERSEAL 1614A SINCE NOT ENOUGH PRODUCT
9020 AVAILABLE FOR SEALING.

===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 66. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 4


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 5 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" x 1

TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9012 WITH SELECTED JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: (- JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING SEALANT; SEALANT REJECTED

COIN; 5 OR MORE 1/8" DIAMETER BUBBLES PER FOOT. SOME SURFACE

SEPARATION, 1/16 IN.; O - FUEL SPILLAGE NOTED HOWEVER NO SEALANT DAMAGE

BUBBLE RATING: NO OF BUBBLES - 2 OVERALL RATING - 3/3 - 1

BUBBLE SIZE - 1

SWELLING - 0


===== SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 67. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 5

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 6 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25' (EXCEPT AS SHOWN)
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAF CO SUPERSEAL 1614A



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN; SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING; SURFACE
BUBBLES, 1 PER FOOT, 1/8 IN. DIAMETER; 1/16 IN ISOLATED SEALANT
SEPARATION FROM CONCRETE.


BUBBLE RATING NO. OF BUBBLES - 1 OVERALL RATING = $2/3 = 0.67$
 BUBBLE SIZE 1
 SWELLING 0
 SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 68. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 6


FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 7 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1

TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'

SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL CRAFCO IMPROVED JFR



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> | <11% |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> | 11-50% |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> | >50% |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> | 100% |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN. DISCOLORATION IN SEALANT


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 69. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 7

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION: FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION: AREA 2 SECTION 8 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR: 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE: JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9012 WITH SELECTED
POINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS: (- JOINTS PRIMED BEFORE POURING; SEALANT REJECTED COIN;
 SEALANT SEPARATION 1/16-1/8 IN.; 1/8 IN. DIAMETER SURFACE BUBBLING; SEALANT
 SURFACE CRACKING.

BUBBLE RATING: NO. OF BUBBLES - 1

OVERALL RATING = 2/3 = 0.67

BUBBLE SIZE - 1

SWELLING - 0


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 70. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 8

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 9 JOINT WIDTH SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL KOCH PRODUCT 9050 SL



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN, SEALANT SURFACE CRACKING, 1 - ADHESION

FAILURES, 6 INCHES LONG, 2 - ADHESION FAILURES 2.5 FT LONG, A - BACKER

ROD OUT OF JOINT, ADHESION FAILURES CAUSED BY OLD SEALANT MATERIAL LEFT ON
JOINT FACE


SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 71. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 9

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION PAVEMENT JOINT SEALANT FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

SITE LOCATION FAIRCHILD AFB

SEALANT LOCATION AREA 2 SECTION 10 JOINT WIDTH: SHAPE FACTOR 3/4" : 1
 TYPE OF SURVEY: ONE YEAR EVALUATION SLAB SIZE: 25' by 25'
 SURVEY DATE JULY 27, 1992 SEALANT MATERIAL: KOCH PRODUCT 9020



SEALANT FAILURES

| ADHESION FAILURE | | COHESION FAILURE | | FUEL DAMAGE | | DEBRIS RETENTION | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | | FEW <input type="checkbox"/> <11% | |
| FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | | FREQUENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11-50% | |
| EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | | EXTENSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> >50% | |
| COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | | COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> 100% | |

REMARKS SEALANT REJECTED COIN : AREAS THROUGHOUT JOINTS WITH ADHESION
FAILURE CAUSED BY RESIDUAL SEALANT LEFT ON JOINT FACES

SEALED JOINTS IN SECTION

Figure 72. One-year field evaluation - area 2 section 10




Figure 73. Concrete test slab plan




Photo 1. Water cooled concrete saw




Photo 2. High pressure flushing of pavement joints




Photo 3. Sand blasting pavement joints




Photo 4. Cleaning of pavement joints with compressed air after sand blasting

Appendix A

Proposed Specification for Low Modulus, Hot-Applied, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealants for Rigid and Flexible Pavements¹

1. **SCOPE:** This specification covers low modulus, hot-applied, non-fuel-resistant pavement joint sealant materials for use in sealing joints and cracks in rigid and flexible pavements that are exposed to cold ambient temperatures.

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 The following documents, of the issues in effect on the date of invitation for bids or request for proposal, form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein.

Federal Specification

PPP-P-704 - Pails, Metal: (Shipping, Steel, 1 through 12 Gallons)

Federal Standards

FED-STD-123 - Marking for Shipment (Civil Agencies)

FED-STD-313 - Material Safety Data Sheets Preparation and the Submission of

Military Standards

MIL-STD-105 - Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes

¹ This proposed specification has not been finalized and changes may occur before it is published as a material specification. Therefore, its use may not be applicable for project specifications.

MIL-STD-129 - Marking for Shipment and Storage
MIL-STD-147 - Palletized Unit Loads

Federal Regulations

29 CFR 1900-1999 - Occupational Safety and Health Administration
(OSHA), Department of Labor

2.2 Other Publications. The following documents form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein. Unless a specific issue is identified, the issue in effect on date of invitation for bid or request for proposal shall apply.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

D 5 - Penetration of Bituminous Materials, Test Method for
D 140 - Sampling Bituminous Materials, Method of
D 217 - Cone Penetration of Lubrication Grease, Test Method for
D 1985 - Preparing Concrete Blocks for Testing Sealants for Joints
and Cracks, Standard Practice for
D 5167 - Melting of Hot-Applied Joint and Crack Sealant and Filler
Material for Evaluation, Standard Practice for

Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry

T431 om - Ink Absorbency of Blotting Paper

2.3 Order of Precedence. In the event of a conflict between the text of this specification and the references cited herein, the text of this specification shall have precedence.

3. REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Description.

3.1.1 Material. The sealant shall be a fully polymerized and cured thermoplastic material, furnished as a solid. It shall be composed of a mixture of asphalt and rubber, with additives. The manufacturer's specified application of pouring temperature shall not exceed 232 deg (°C) (450 deg °F)), and shall be at least 11°C (20°F) lower than the safe heating temperature. The sealant shall meet the requirements of this specification when poured after held at the application temperature for no more than 10 min, and shall retain all of its properties with up to 3 hr of continuous heat.

3.1.2 Performance. The sealant shall form a resilient and adhesive compound, which effectively seals joints and cracks in pavements against the infiltration of moisture throughout repeated cycles of expansion and contraction. The sealant shall not flow from the joint or be picked up by pneumatic tires at ambient temperatures of 52°C (125°F) or below. The sealant shall have a uniform application or pouring consistency suitable for filling the joint or crack without the inclusion of blisters, bubbles, or discontinuities.

3.2 Safe Heating Temperature. The safe heating temperature is the highest temperature permitted by the manufacturer (see 5.3.3) and is a temperature to which the sealant can be heated for a duration of at least 3 hr, and still conform to all of the requirements specified herein.

3.3 Penetration. Penetration shall not exceed 15.0 millimeters (mm) (150 test units) (0.591 in.) or be less than 9.0 mm (90 test units) (0.354 in.) when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.3.

3.4 Flow. Flow shall not exceed 3.0 mm (0.118 in.), for either specimen when tested as specified in 4.4.4.

3.5 Resilience. Recovery shall be a minimum of 50 percent and shall not exceed 80 percent when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.5. The initial indentation shall not exceed 3.5 mm (0.138 in.) or be less than 1.0 mm (0.039 in.) when tested as specified in 4.4.5.

3.6 Bond to Concrete. No specimen shall develop any surface crack, separation, or other opening in the sealant, or between the sealant and the concrete blocks when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.6.

3.7 Static Adhesion. When the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.7, none of the 3 specimens shall exhibit any crack, separation, or other opening in the sealant, or between the sealant and the concrete blocks exceeding 6.35 mm (0.25 in.) in depth. Additionally, none of the 3 specimens shall exhibit a total area of bare concrete exposed on the face of any single concrete block exceeding 160 mm² (0.25 in.²).

3.8 Compatibility with Asphalt. There shall be no failure in adhesion, formation of an oily exudate at the interface between the sealant and the asphaltic concrete, or softening or other deleterious effect on the asphaltic concrete, when tested as specified in 4.4.7.

3.9 Storage Stability. When specified (see 6.2), the user agency will retain samples for verification of these requirements: The sealant, when stored for 2 years from date of delivery, at temperatures from -18°C to 46°C (0°F to 115°F), and tested in accordance with this specification, shall meet all of the requirements herein.

3.10 Toxicity. The material shall have no adverse effect on the health of personnel when used for its intended purpose in the manner recommended by the manufacturer. Questions pertinent to this effect shall be referred by the acquiring activity to the appropriate medical service who will act as advisor to the acquiring activity. The manufacturer's instructions shall provide personnel protection to meet OSHA requirements, including 29 CFR 1910.1000, 1910.1002, and 1910.1017, as applicable (see 4.5).

3.11 Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS). MSDSs shall be prepared in accordance with FED-STD-313 and submitted as directed (see 6.2, 6.3, and 6.5).

protection to meet OSHA requirements, including 29 CFR 1910.1000, 1910.1002, and 1910.1017, as applicable (see 4.5).

3.11 Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS). MSDSs shall be prepared in accordance with FED-STD-313 and submitted as directed (see 6.2, 6.3, and 6.5).

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

4.1 Responsibility for Inspection. Unless otherwise specified, the contractor is responsible for the performance of all inspection requirements and may use his own or any other facilities suitable for the performance of the inspection requirements that are approved by the user agency. The user agency reserves the right to perform any inspections set forth in the specification where such inspections are deemed necessary to assure supplies and services conform to prescribed requirements.

4.1.1 Materials Inspection. The contractor is responsible for insuring that supplies and materials are inspected for compliance with all the requirements specified herein and in applicable referenced documents.

4.2 Classification of Inspection. The inspection requirements specified herein are classified as follows:

- a. Quality conformance inspection (see 4.2.1).
- b. Inspection of preparation for delivery (see 4.6).

4.2.1 Quality Conformance Inspection. The quality conformance inspection shall be as specified in 4.4. Sampling shall be in accordance with 4.3.

4.3 Sampling. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), samples for testing shall be taken at the point of manufacturer in accordance with ASTM D 140. It shall be the responsibility of the contractor to determine that the samples taken are representative of the batches for shipment. The representative composite sample of the sealant shall consist of not less than 7 kg (15 lb) from each batch. A lot consisting of a single batch, if taken from filled containers, shall be sampled from 3 containers, selected at random, to make up the composite sample. Sample identification shall include the name of the testing agency, the contract or purchase order number, and special marking as specified in 5.3.3. Each container from which sample material has been taken shall be resealed and marked for identification.

4.4 Testing. Testing shall be conducted at a user-agency approved facility (see 6.2). Samples taken as specified in 4.3 shall be tested as specified in 4.4.1 through 4.4.7. Individual test values, and results of failure analyses of individual shall be recorded. Failure of the sealant to pass any test shall be cause for rejection of the lot, except as noted for bond specimen casting defects in 4.4.6.3, and as noted for a marginal test result. The exceptions for marginal test results are as follows: Where test results of only one of the

4.4.1 *Standard Conditions.* Laboratory atmospheric conditions, hereinafter referred to as standard conditions, shall be a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($73 \pm 4^{\circ}\text{F}$) and 50 ± 5 percent relative humidity. Specimens shall be stored and tested at standard laboratory conditions unless otherwise specified.

4.4.2 *Specimen Preparation.*

4.4.2.1 *Equipment.* The unit for melting the laboratory samples shall be as specified in ASTM D 5167.

4.4.2.2 *Melting.* The portion of the sample selected for testing shall provide approximately 1.6 cubic decimeter (1.7 quarts) of melted material. The portion of material selected shall be obtained from the original sample and melted as specified in ASTM D 5167. The sample shall be heated at the safe heating temperature until 3 hr have elapsed since the first segment was added to the melters.

4.4.2.3 *Pouring.* Pour all test specimens as specified in 4.4.3 through 4.4.7 within a period of 10 min. Discard the first 50 to 60 g of material discharged from each melting pot.

4.4.3 *Penetration.* Penetration testing shall be as specified in ASTM D 5 except as specified herein. Use a penetrometer as specified in ASTM D 217 with a cone conforming to the Optional Cone therein in place of the needle. Prepare specimen in 177 cubic centimeter (cc) (6 oz) container, and cast flush with the top edge. Make determinations at locations on 120° radii, and half-way between the center and outside of the specimen. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.3.

4.4.4 *Flow.*

4.4.4.1 *Specimen Preparation.* Prepare duplicate specimens in molds with inside dimensions of 40 mm by 60 mm by 3.2 mm (1.56 in. by 2.34 in. by 0.125 in.), placed on a bright tin panel. Metal molds, coated with a release agent, such as a thin, cured film of heat-stable silicone (see 6.6.3), or equivalent, shall be used. Fill the molds with excess sealant and allow the specimens to cool at standard laboratory conditions for a minimum of 30 min. After the specimens have cooled, trim off the excess sealant flush with the face of the mold with a heated knife or spatula.

4.4.4.2 *Test.* Remove the molds and mark reference lines across the panels coincident with the transverse edge of the specimens. Mount the specimens with the long axis at an angle of $75 \pm 1^{\circ}$ with the horizontal and the transverse axis horizontal, in a forced-draft oven maintained at $50 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($140 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{F}$). After 5 hr, remove the specimens and mark a reference line on each specimen coincident with the lowest point of sag or flow, and parallel to the reference line. Measure the indicated change in length of the specimen and report as flow. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.4.

4.4.5 *Resilience.*

4.4.5.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare duplicate specimens as specified in 4.4.3, except cure the specimens for 24 hr at standard laboratory conditions prior to testing. Oven-age one specimen in a forced-draft oven at $70 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($158 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$) for 168 ± 2 hr, cool at standard laboratory conditions for 1 hr, and then condition for 1 hr in a water bath maintained at $25 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ ($77 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{F}$) prior to testing. Condition the unaged specimen for 1 hr in a water bath maintained at $25 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ ($77 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{F}$) prior to testing.

4.4.5.2 Procedure. Use a penetrometer as specified in ASTM D 217, substituting the ball penetration tool illustrated in Figure 1 for the needle. Lightly dust the surface of the specimen with talc and immediately remove the excess by blowing. Place the ball in contact with the surface of the specimen and set the indicating dial to zero. Position a light so that initial contact of the ball with the specimen surface can be readily observed. Release the ball penetration tool and allow the ball to penetrate the specimen for 5 sec. Record this reading as penetration (P) in tenth-millimeter units. Without returning the dial pointer to zero, press the ball penetration tool down for an additional 100 units (i.e., to a dial reading of $P + 100$) at a uniform rate within 10 sec. Re-engage the clutch to hold the ball in this position for 5 sec and during this time return the indicator dial to zero. Release the clutch, allow the specimen to recover for 20 sec, and record the final dial reading (F). Make determinations at 3 points equally spaced from each other and not less than 13 mm (0.5 in.) from the container rim. Calculate the recovery, a measure of resilience, as follows:

$$\text{Recovery, percent} = P + 100 - F$$

Report the averages of 3 determinations of recovery and initial penetration for each specimen. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.5.

4.4.6 Bond to Concrete.

4.4.6.1 Extension Machine. The extension machine used in the bond testing shall be so designed that the specimen can be maintained at the test temperature while being extended at a uniform rate as specified. It shall consist essentially of one or more screws rotated by an electric motor through suitable gear reductions. Self-aligning plates or grips, one of each pair fixed and the other carried by the rotating screw or screws, shall be provided for holding the test specimen in position during the test.

4.4.6.2 Concrete Block Preparation. The concrete blocks used for bond testing shall be prepared as specified in ASTM D 1985. The blocks may be prepared by the testing agency or procured (see 6.6.3).

4.4.6.3 Specimen Preparation. Prepare 6 bond test specimens (12 blocks) as follows: Remove blocks from the storage water individually, scrub the 50 mm by 75 mm (2 in. by 3 in.) faces lightly with a stiff bristle brush, under running water, and resubmerge in fresh tap water until all blocks have been scrubbed. Remove all blocks from the water and lightly blot with an oil-free, soft, absorbent cloth or paper to remove all free surface water. Place the

blocks, 3 each, with the 50 mm by 75 mm faces down, centered and uniformly spaced 25 mm (1 in.) apart on sheets of blotting paper placed on a plane, solid, nonabsorbent surface. The sheets shall be approximately 100 mm by 240 mm (4 in. by 9.5 in.), cut from material having a maximum absorption time of 28 sec as measured by TAPPI T431 (see 6.6.4). At the end of 1 hr, assemble pairs of concrete blocks to provide test specimens. Complete setup and pour specimens within 1 hr. Spacers and the base plate used for the test specimens shall have nonadherent, nonreactive surfaces (see 4.4.4.1). Place the spacer strips not less than 6.35 mm (0.25 in.) thick on a base plate to form an open space 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) wide and 50 mm by (2 in.) long. Place pairs of the concrete blocks on the spacers so that the 25 mm by 75 mm faces are on the spacers and the 50 mm by 75 mm faces which were against the blotter paper form the space to be filled by the sealant. Space the blocks 12.7 ± 0.1 mm (0.500 ± 0.005 in.) apart with 12.7 ± 0.1 mm square by 75 mm long spacers. Corners may be slightly rounded, but discard spacers that have a diagonal dimension less than 16.51 mm (0.650 in.). Place these spacer strips at a distance from the ends of the blocks so that an opening 12.7 ± 0.1 mm by 50 mm by 50 mm (0.5 ± 0.005 in. by 2 in. by 2 in.) is formed. Place spacer strips that are a minimum of 12.7 mm thick on top of the blocks to provide for an overfill. Clamps or other suitable means may be used to hold the blocks and overfill spacers in position. Pour sealant prepared in accordance with 4.4.2 into the space between the blocks in sufficient quantity to bring it at least even with the top of the overfill spacers, and in a manner to exclude air pockets from being trapped in the sealant. After the specimens have cooled to standard conditions, remove the excess sealant protruding beyond the top and bottom of the concrete blocks by trimming with a hot knife or spatula. If the material shrinks on cooling below the top of the blocks, or if other casting defects are apparent, discard the specimens and prepare additional ones. Cool the specimens at least 2 hr, but no more than 24 hr, at standard laboratory conditions before subjecting them to test conditions.

4.4.6.4 Nonimmersed Bond. Condition 3 bond test specimens, with spacers maintaining the 12.7 mm dimensions, at the test temperature of $-29 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($-20 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$), with forced air circulation for 4 to 16 hr. Then extend the specimen 25.4 mm (1 in.) at a uniform rate of 3.18 mm (0.125 in.) per hr, while maintaining the specimens at the test temperature. Remove the specimens from the extension machine and reinsert the 12.7 mm spacers, and examine the spacers as described in 4.4.6.6. Then allow the specimens to return to the original dimensions at standard conditions, resting each specimen on one concrete block so that the weight of the top block recompresses the joint sealant. Three cycles of conditioning, extension, and recovery shall be completed within 5 days after the start of the first cycle, and shall constitute one complete test for the nonimmersed bond. When initiation of the second or third cycle is delayed, store the specimens at the test temperature.

4.4.6.5 Water-Immersed Bond. Insert thinner spacers between the concrete blocks of the remaining three bond specimens, so that an opening of not less than 6.35 mm by 12.7 mm by 50 mm (0.25 in. by 0.5 in. by 2 in.) will be produced and maintained between the spacers and the sealant. Using covered

containers deep enough to provide a minimum of 12.7 mm water cover, immerse the specimens for 96 hr in 500 cc (16.9 oz) of distilled or deionized water per specimen, and maintain at standard laboratory temperature. Place the specimens with the concrete blocks in a horizontal position. Three specimens may be placed in the same container, provided that the water-to-specimen ratio is maintained. At the end of the 96 hr immersion period, remove the test specimens from the water, remove the spacers, and remove the excess surface water from the specimens with a soft, dry, absorbent material. Subject the specimens to conditioning and extension test as specified in 4.4.6.4. One cycle of immersion and three cycles of conditioning, extension, and recovery shall constitute one complete test for water-immersed bond (see 4.4.6.6).

4.4.6.6. Bond Test Results. Remove the bond test specimens from the extension machine within 30 min after the completion of the extension of each of the first two test cycles and examine the specimens for obvious separations within the sealant and between the sealant and the concrete blocks without distorting or manually causing extension of the specimens. Immediately upon completion of the final cycle, insert both sets of spacers or otherwise maintain 50 percent extension during examination and dimensional measurements, examining the specimens thoroughly, while still frozen, for separations between the sealant and concrete blocks and within the sealant, including surface cracks. This shall be accomplished without distorting the specimens, but after recovery as specified in 4.4.6.4, the specimens may be extended uniformly up to 25.4 mm (1 in.) to permit further detailed examination. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.6.

4.4.7 Static Adhesion.

4.4.7.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare 3 bond test specimens as specified in 4.4.6.2 and 4.4.6.3 of this specification.

4.4.7.1 Procedure. Condition 3 bond test specimens, with spacers maintaining the 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) dimensions, at a test temperature of $-29 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($-20 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$), with forced air circulation, for not less than 4 hr. Then extend the specimens 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) at a uniform rate of 3.18 mm (0.125 in.) per hour while maintaining the specimens at the test temperature. Remove the test specimens from the extension machine and insert 25.4 mm (1 in.) spacers to maintain the 12.7 mm extension. Store the specimens at standard laboratory conditions for 24 hr and then examine them for the separations between the sealant and the concrete blocks or within the sealant. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.7.

4.4.8 Compatibility with Asphalt.

4.4.8.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare duplicate specimens of hot-mix asphaltic concrete, not less than 100 mm (4 in.) in diameter and 64 mm (2.5 in.) high using 85 - 100 penetration asphalt cement. Density and asphalt content shall be for asphaltic concrete pavement mix design and design method as specified (see 6.2 and 6.7). Specimens other than circular, but of

similar dimensions and properties may be used. Allow the specimens to cool to standard laboratory temperature, then cut a groove 100 mm (4 in.) long by 13 ± 3.2 mm (0.5 ± 0.125 in.) wide by 19 ± 3.2 mm (0.75 ± 0.125 in.) deep in the surface of the specimen by wet sawing with a power driven masonry saw. Remove all residue from the grooves by scrubbing with a stiff-bristle brush under running water. Allow the specimens to return to standard laboratory conditions and securely wrap with cloth-backed adhesive tape, or otherwise reinforce to prevent slumping during the test period. Caulk the ends of the grooves to prevent leaking of the sealant during testing. Pour sealant, prepared in accordance with 4.4.2, into the groove, overfilling slightly, but not allowing overflow onto the adjacent asphaltic concrete surface. Allow the specimen to cool to standard laboratory temperature, then trim any overfill flush, using a hot knife or spatula.

4.4.8.2 Procedure. Place the specimens in a forced-draft oven maintained at $60 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ ($140 \pm 5^\circ\text{F}$) for 168 ± 2 hr. Inspect at least once each day for specimen damage. Immediately after removing the specimen from the oven and again after cooling to standard laboratory temperature, examine the specimens for incompatibility of the sealant with the asphaltic concrete. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.8.

4.5 Toxicological Data and Formulations. The manufacturer shall provide a listing of the components in the sealant that when heated could produce hazardous vapors (see 5.3.3). Where precautions need to be taken relative to the inhaling of, or skin or eye contact with the material or vapors, these precautions shall be included in the manufacturer's instructions (see 3.9 and 5.3.3.1).

4.6 Inspection of Preparation for Delivery.

4.6.1 Sampling. Sampling for inspection of filled containers shall be in accordance with MIL-STD-105, inspection Level II. The unit of product shall be one unit prepared for shipment.

4.6.2 Examination. Each filled container selected shall be inspected for conformance to the requirements of Section 5. Inspection shall be based on an Acceptable Quality level of 2.5 percent defective.

5. PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY

5.1 Packing. Packing shall be Level A, B, or Commercial as specified (see 6.2).

5.1.1 Level A. The material shall be packed in a close-fitting, tapered 24-gage metal pail with gasket and lug cover. Pails shall have a wire handle securely attached to ears or clips which shall be attached to the body of the pails. The exterior surfaces of the pails shall be coated as specified in PPP-P-704. The unit pack quantity shall be one unit of issue quantity specified in the contract or purchase order.

5.1.2 Level B. The material shall be packed the same as for Level A except that the exterior surfaces of the pail shall be coated with a commercial coating.

5.1.3 Commercial. The material shall be packed to insure carrier acceptance and safe delivery to the destination in containers complying with the rules and regulations applicable to the mode of transportation.

5.2 Palletization.

5.2.1 Level A. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.2.2 Level B and Commercial. When specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.3 Marking.

5.3.1 Civil Agencies. Shipments to civil agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-123.

5.3.2 Military Agencies. Shipments to military agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-129.

5.3.3 Special Marking. In addition to the marking of 5.3.1 or 5.3.2, and any special marking of the contract or order, the following information shall be shown on each pail:

- a. Name of sealant
- b. Specification number
- c. Manufacturer's name and material designation
- d. Manufacturer's lot and batch number
- e. Date of manufacturer (month and year)
- f. List of hazardous components (see 4.5)
- g. Quantity of sealant in pail (net weight)
- h. Application or pouring temperature
- i. Safe heating temperature
- j. Instructions for use

5.3.3.1 Instruction for Use. The instructions for use (see 6.8) shall include, but not limited to the following: Ambient temperature and humidity ranges,

and moisture conditions of joints, for successful installation; essential requirements for preparation of joints, heating of the sealant, handling, placing, and disposal of hot materials; and any restrictions to be adhered to in order to reduce hazards to personnel or to the environment. If it is not feasible to include all the instructions on the container without sacrificing legibility, the most important information shall be shown on the container and the full instructions referenced and furnished separately.

6. NOTES

6.1 *Intended Use.* This sealant is intended for sealing joints and cracks in rigid or flexible pavements that are not exposed to spillage of jet fuels and lubricating oils. It is not intended to be resistant to the heat and blast of jet aircraft engines, except when aircraft are moving at moderate speeds.

6.2 *Ordering Data.* Purchasers shall select the preferred options permitted herein, and include the following in procurement documents:

- a. Title, number and date of this specification
- b. When stability samples are required, quantity to be retained and by what activity (see 3.8 and 6.4)
- c. Addresses for submission of MSDS (see 3.10 and 6.5)
- d. Sampling, if other than as specified (see 4.3)
- e. Designation of Government approved test facility (see 4.4)
- f. Density and asphalt content values for asphaltic concrete pavement mix design and design method required (see 4.4.7.1)
- g. Level of packing required (see 5.1)
- h. If palletization is not required for Level A (see 5.2.1)
- i. When palletization is required for Level B or Commercial (see 5.2.2)

6.3 *Data Requirements.* When this specification is used in an acquisition which incorporates DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) and invokes the provisions of paragraph 52.227-7031 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), the data requirements will be developed as specified by an approved Data Item Description (DD Form 1664) and delivered in accordance with the approved CDRL (DD Form 1423) incorporated into the contract. When the provisions are not invoked, the data shall be delivered in accordance with the contract requirements (see 3.10).

6.4 *Stability Samples.* The date of delivery shall be marked on samples submitted for stability testing (see 3.8).

6.5 MSDS Submission and Forwarding. MSDS copies shall be forwarded to the designated Industrial Hygienist and the focal point of the activity that purchased the item, and the focal point of the using activity if different from purchasing activity. After review and acceptance of MSDS by designated recipients, approved copies will be forwarded to arrive at the destinations prior to material delivery (see 3.10).

6.6 Availability of Testing Materials and Apparatus. Known suppliers of specified testing materials and apparatus are as follows:

6.6.1 Melting Unit. A unit as specified in 4.4.2.1: Laboratory Melter Model BLM-100, Berry Corporation, P.O. Box 337, Nicholas, KY 40356.

6.6.2 Release Agent. An agent as specified in 4.4.4.1: Dow Corning 20 release coating, Dow Corning Corporation, Midland, MI 48640.

6.6.3 Concrete Blocks. Blocks as specified in 4.4.6.2: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Missouri River Division Laboratory, 420 South 18th Street, Omaha, NE 68102.

6.6.4 Blotting Paper. Paper as specified in 4.4.6.3: White Reliance Blotting Paper, Product Code 13-01-12, James River Paper Company, Incorporated, 145 James Way, Southampton, PA 18966.

6.7 Asphalt Compatibility Specimens. The specimens of hot-mix asphalt concrete as specified in 4.4.7.1 may be prepared using the methods described in ASTM D 1074, D 1559, D 1561.

6.8 Precautions. The sealant material can be damaged by heating at too high a temperature, reheating, or by heating for too long a period of time. The temperature of the sealant in the melting equipment should never exceed the safe heating temperature set by the manufacturer. Any given quantity of material should never be heated at the application or pouring temperature for more than 3 hr and should never be reheated. Sealant material left in the equipment at the end of the working day should be removed and discarded. The sealant should be heated in a kettle or tank constructed as a double boiler, with the space between the inner and outer shells filled with heat transfer oil. Positive thermostatic control, mechanical agitation, and recirculating pumps should be provided to maintain a uniform temperature of the sealant and heating oil. Direct heating is not permitted. Thermometers should be provided for continuous temperature readings of both the oil and the sealant.

Appendix B

Proposed Specification for Low Modulus, Hot-Applied, Jet-Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealants for Rigid Pavements¹

1. **SCOPE:** This specification covers low modulus, hot-applied, jet-fuel-resistant pavement joint sealant materials for use in sealing joints and cracks in rigid pavements that are exposed to cold ambient temperatures.

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 The following documents, of the issues in effect on the date of invitation for bids or request for proposal, form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein.

Federal Specification

PPP-P-704 - Pails, Metal: (Shipping, Steel, 1 through 12 Gallons)

Federal Standards

FED-STD-123 - Marking for Shipment (Civil Agencies)

FED-STD-313 - Material Safety Data Sheets Preparation and the Submission of

Military Standards

MIL-STD-105 - Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes

MIL-STD-129 - Marking for Shipment and Storage

MIL-STD-147 - Palletized Unit Loads

¹ This proposed specification has not been finalized and changes may occur before it is published as a material specification. Therefore, its use may not be applicable for project specifications.

Federal Regulations

29 CFR 1900-1999 - Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor

2.2 Other publications. The following documents form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein. Unless a specific issue is identified, the issue in effect on date of invitation for bid or request for proposal shall apply.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

- D 5 - Penetration of Bituminous Materials, Test Method for
- D 140 - Sampling Bituminous Materials, Methods of
- D 217 - Cone Penetration of Lubricating Grease, Test Method for
- D 471 - Rubber Property - Effect of Liquids, Test Method for
- D 1985 - Preparing Concrete Blocks for Testing Sealants for Joints and Cracks, Standard Practice for
- D 5167 - Melting of Hot-Applied Joint and Crack Sealant and Filler Material for Evaluation, Standard Practice for

Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry

T431 om - Ink Absorbency of Bottling Paper

2.3 Order of Precedence. In the event of a conflict between the text of this specification and the references cited herein, the text of this specification shall have precedence.

3. REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Description.

3.1.1 Material. The sealant shall be a thermoplastic material. It shall be composed of a mixture of coal tar, and rubber or resin, with additives. The manufacturer's specified application or pouring temperature shall not exceed 232 deg (°C) (450 deg (°F)), and shall be at least 11°C (20°F) lower than the safe heating temperature. The sealant shall meet the requirements of this specification when poured after held at the application temperature for no more than 10 min, and shall retain all of its properties with up to 3 hr of continuous heat.

3.1.2 Performance. The sealant shall form a resilient and adhesive compound, resistant to the solvent action of jet fuels and lubricating oils. The sealant shall effectively seal joints and cracks in pavements against the infiltration of moisture throughout repeat cycles of expansion and contraction. The sealant shall not flow from the joint or be picked up by pneumatic tires at ambient temperatures of 52°C (125°F) or below. The sealant shall have a uniform application or pouring consistency suitable for filling the joint or crack without the inclusion of blisters, bubbles, or discontinuities.

3.2 Safe Heating Temperature. The safe heating temperature is the highest use temperature permitted by the manufacturer (see 5.3.3) and is a temperature to which the sealant can be heated for a duration of at least 3 hr, and still conform to all of the requirements specified herein.

3.3 Penetration.

3.3.1 Nonimmersed Penetration. The nonimmersed penetration shall not exceed 16.0 millimeters (mm) (160 test units) (0.630 in.) when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.3.1.

3.3.2 Fuel-Immersed Penetration. The fuel-immersed penetration shall not exceed the nonimmersed penetration when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.3.2.

3.3.3 Aged Penetration Retention. The aged penetration retention shall be a minimum of 70 percent when tested as specified in 4.4.3.3.

3.4 Change in Mass by Fuel Immersion. The change in dry mass after fuel immersion for 24 hr at 49°C (120°F) shall not exceed 1.0 percent, and there shall be no apparent defects that will affect the material as a sealant when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.4.

3.5 Flow. Flow shall not exceed 3.0 mm (0.118 in.), for either specimen when tested as specified in 4.4.5.

3.6 Bond to Concrete.

3.6.1 Nonimmersed Bond. When the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.6.4, the following requirements shall be met:

- a. Two of the three specimens shall exhibit no crack, separation, or other opening in the sealant, or between the sealant and the concrete blocks.
- b. The third specimen shall exhibit no crack separation, or other opening in the sealant, or between the sealant and the concrete blocks exceeding 6.35 mm (0.25) in depth, and shall exhibit no total area of bare concrete exposed on the face of either single concrete block exceeding 160 mm² (0.25 in.²).

3.6.2 Fuel-Immersed Bond. When the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.6.5, the following requirements shall be met:

- a. None of the three specimens shall exhibit any crack, separation, or other opening in the sealant, or between the sealant and the concrete blocks exceeding 6.35 mm (0.25 in.) in depth.
- b. None of the three specimens shall exhibit a total area of bare concrete exposed on the face of any single concrete block exceeding 160 mm² (0.25 in.²).

3.6.3 Water-Immersed Bond. When the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.6.6, requirements a. and b. of 3.6.1 shall be met.

3.7 Resilience. Recovery shall be a minimum of 45 percent when the sealant is tested as specified in 4.4.7.

3.8 Storage Stability. When specified (see 6.2), the user agency will retain samples for verification of these requirements: The sealant, when stored for 2 years from date of delivery, at temperatures from -18°C to 46°C (0°F to 115°F), and tested in accordance with this specification, shall meet all of the requirements herein.

3.9 Toxicity. The material shall have no adverse effect on the health of personnel when used for its intended purpose in the manner recommended by the manufacturer. Questions pertinent to this effect shall be referred by the acquiring activity to the appropriate medical service who will act as advisor to the acquiring activity. The manufacturer's instructions shall provide personnel protection to meet OSHA requirements, including 29 CFR 1910.1000, 1910.1002, and 1910.1017, as applicable (see 4.5).

3.10 Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS). MSDSs shall be prepared in accordance with FED-STD-313 and submitted as directed (see 6.2, 6.3, and 6.5).

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

4.1 Responsible for Inspection. Unless otherwise specified, the contractor is responsible for the performance of all inspection requirements and may use his own or any other facilities suitable for the performance of the inspection requirements that are approved by the user agency. The user agency reserves the right to perform any inspections set forth in the specification where such inspections are deemed necessary to assure supplies and services conform to prescribed requirements.

4.1.1 Materials Inspection. The contractor is responsible for insuring that supplies and materials are inspected for compliance with all the requirements specified herein and in applicable referenced documents.

4.2 Classification of Inspections. The inspection requirements specified herein are classified as follows:

- a. Quality conformance inspection (see 4.2.1).
- b. Inspection of preparation for delivery (see 4.6).

4.2.1 Quality Conformance Inspection. The quality conformance inspection shall be as specified in 4.4. Sampling shall be in accordance with 4.3.

4.3 Sampling. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), samples for testing shall be taken at the point of manufacturer in accordance with ASTM D 140. It

shall be the responsibility of the contractor to determine that the samples taken are representative of the batches for shipment. The representative composite sample of the sealant shall consist of not less than 7 kg (15 lb) from each batch. A lot consisting of a single batch, if taken from filled containers, shall be sampled from 3 containers, selected at random, to make up the composite sample. Sample identification shall include the name of the testing agency, the contract or purchase order number, and special marking as specified in 5.3.3. Each container from which sample material has been taken shall be resealed and marked for identification.

4.4 Testing. Testing shall be conducted at a user-agency approved facility (see 6.2). Samples taken as specified in 4.3 shall be tested as specified in 4.4.1 through 4.4.7. Individual test values, and results of failure analyses of individual shall be recorded. Failure of the sealant to pass any test shall be cause for rejection of the lot, except as noted for bond specimen casting defects in 4.4.6.3, and as noted for a marginal test result. The exceptions for marginal test results are as follows: where test results of only one of the specified tests are judged by the testing agency to be marginal as to meeting the requirements, the testing agency has the option to perform a retest. If the testing agency does not exercise its option to retest, either the contractor or the user agency may request that a retest for that property be made at the requester's expense. Such a test will be made only when an adequate quantity of the original sample is available or where additional material can be obtained from the previously marked sample containers.

4.4.1 Standard Conditions. Laboratory atmospheric conditions, hereinafter referred to as standard conditions, shall be a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($73 \pm 4^{\circ}\text{F}$) and 50 ± 5 percent relative humidity. Specimens shall be stored and tested at standard laboratory conditions unless otherwise specified.

4.4.2 Specimen Preparation.

4.4.2.1 Equipment. The unit for melting the laboratory samples shall be as specified in ASTM D 5167.

4.4.2.2 Melting. The portion of the sample selected for testing shall provide approximately 1.6 cubic decimeter (1.7 quarts) of melted material. The portion of material selected shall be obtained from the original sample and melted as specified in ASTM D 5167. The sample shall be heated at the safe heating temperature until 3 hr have elapsed since the first segment was added to the melters.

4.4.2.3 Pouring. Pour all test specimens as specified in 4.4.5 through 4.4.7 within a period of 10 min. Discard the first 50 to 60 g of material discharged from each melting pot. After pouring, store all specimens at standard laboratory conditions for 72 ± 4 hr prior to beginning testing or initiating fuel or water immersion procedures.

4.4.3 Penetration.

4.4.3.1 Nonimmersed Penetration. Testing shall be as specified in ASTM D 5 except as specified herein. Use a penetrometer as specified in ASTM D 217 with a cone conforming to the Optional Cone therein in place of the needle. Prepare specimen in 177 cc (6 oz) container, and cast flush with the top edge. Make determinations at location on 120° radii, and halfway between the center and outside of the specimen. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.3.1.

4.4.3.2 Fuel-Immersed Penetration. Immerse specimens prepared as specified in 4.4.3.1, for 24 hr in 500 cc (16.9 oz) each of clean test fuel maintained at $49 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($120 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$). The container for the test fuel and specimens shall have a 3.2 mm (0.125 in.) round hole cut in the lid to eliminate pressure build-up. More than one specimen of the same manufacturer's material may be immersed in the same container, provided the volume of test fuel per specimen is maintained at 500 cc. The container shall be deep enough to provide a minimum cover of test fuel of 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) over the specimens. Use a covered constant temperature water bath to maintain the container, specimens and test fuel at the required temperature. The test fuel shall be a 70 percent isooctane/30 percent toluene composition, by volume, conforming to the requirements of ASTM Reference Fuel B of ASTM D 471 (see 6.6.2). Immediately after the 24-hr immersion period, dry the specimens for 1 hr under a 300 mm (12 in.) diameter electric fan, placed to provide an air speed of 0.76 to 2.54 meters per second (m/s) (150 to 500 ft per min (f/m)) over the surface of the specimens. Test as specified in 4.4.3.1. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.3.2.

4.4.3.3 Aged Penetration Retention. Prepare one specimen as specified in 4.4.3.1. Cure the specimen at standard laboratory conditions for 72 ± 4 hr and then place the specimen in a forced-draft oven maintained at $70 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($158 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$) for 72 ± 1 hr. Remove the specimen from the oven and cool at standard laboratory conditions for 1 hr. Further condition the specimen for 1 hr in a constant temperature water bath maintained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ ($77 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{F}$). Determine the aged penetration as specified in 4.4.3.1. Calculate the aged penetration retention using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Oven Aged Penetration}}{\text{Nonimmersed Penetration}} \times 100 \text{ percent}$$

Determine conformance to the requirement of 3.3.3.

4.4.4 Change in Mass by Fuel Immersion. Prepare a specimen as specified in 4.4.3.1, in a tared container, and determine the mass to the nearest 0.01 g. Immerse and dry the specimen as specified in 4.4.3.2, and redetermine the mass. Report the change in mass of the specimen in percent gain or loss. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.4.

4.4.5 Flow.

4.4.5.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare duplicate specimens in molds with inside dimensions of 40 mm by 60 mm by 3.2 mm (1.56 in. by 2.34 in. by 0.125 in.), placed on a bright tin panel. Metal molds, coated with a release agent, such as a thin, cured film of heat-stable silicone (see 6.6.3), or equivalent, shall be used. Fill the molds with excess sealant and allow the specimens to cool at standard laboratory conditions for a minimum of 30 min. After the specimens have cooled, trim off the excess sealant flush with the face of the mold with a heated knife or spatula.

4.4.5.2 Test. Remove the molds and mark reference lines across the panels coincident with the transverse edges of the specimens. Mount the specimens with the long axis at an angle of $75 \pm 1^\circ$ with the horizontal and the transverse axis horizontal, in a forced-draft oven maintained at $60 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($140 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$). After 5 hr, remove the specimens and mark a reference line on each specimen coincident with the lowest point of sag or flow, and parallel to the reference line. Measure the indicated change in length of the specimen and report as flow. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.4.

4.4.6 Bond to Concrete.

4.4.6.1 Extension Machine. The extension machine used in the bond testing shall be so designed that the specimen can be maintained at the test temperature while being extended at a uniform rate as specified. It shall consist essentially of one or more screws rotated by an electric motor through suitable gear reductions. Self-aligning plates or grips, one of each pair fixed and the other carried by the rotating screw or screws, shall be provided for holding the test specimen in position during the test.

4.4.6.2 Concrete Block Preparation. The concrete blocks used for bond testing shall be prepared as specified in ASTM D 1985. The blocks may be prepared by the testing agency or procured (see 6.6.3).

4.4.6.3 Specimen Preparation. Prepare 6 bond test specimens (12 blocks) as follows: Remove blocks from the storage water individually, scrub the 50 mm by 75 mm (2 in. by 3 in.) faces lightly with a stiff bristle brush, under running water, and resubmerge in fresh tap water until all blocks have been scrubbed. Remove all blocks from the water and lightly blot with an oil-free, soft, absorbent cloth or paper to remove all free surface water. Place the blocks, 3 each, with the 50 mm by 75 mm faces down, centered and uniformly spaced 25 mm (1 in.) apart on sheets of blotting paper placed on a plane, solid, nonabsorbent surface. The sheets shall be approximately 100 mm by 240 mm (4 in. by 9.5 in.), cut from material having a maximum absorption time of 28 sec as measured by TAPPI T431 (see 6.6.6). At the end of 1 hr, assemble pairs of concrete blocks to provide test specimens. Complete setup and pour specimens within 1 hr. Spacers and the base plate used for the test specimens shall have nonadherent, nonreactive surfaces (see 4.4.5.1). Place the spacer strips not less than 6.35 mm (0.25 in.) thick on a base plate to form an open space 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) wide and 50 mm

(2 in.) long. Place pairs of the concrete blocks on the spacers so that the 25 mm by 75 mm faces are on the spacers and the 50 mm by 75 mm faces which were against the blotter paper from the space to be filled by the sealant. Space the blocks 12.7 ± 0.1 mm (0.500 ± 0.005 in.) apart with 12.7 ± 1 mm square by 75 mm long spacers. Corners may be slightly rounded, but discard spacers that have a diagonal dimension less than 16.51 mm (0.650 in.). Place these spacer strips at a distance from the ends of the blocks so that an opening 12.7 ± 0.1 mm by 50 mm by 50 mm (0.5 ± 0.005 in. by 2 in. by 2 in.) is formed. Place spacer strips that are a minimum of 12.7 mm thick on top of the blocks to provide for an overfill. Clamps or other suitable means may be used to hold the blocks and overfill spacers in position. Pour sealant prepared in accordance with 4.4.2 into the space between the blocks in sufficient quantity to bring it at least even with the top of the overfill spacers, and in a manner to exclude air pockets from being trapped in the sealant. After the specimens have cooled to standard conditions, remove the excess sealant protruding beyond the top and bottom of the concrete blocks by trimming with a hot knife or spatula. If the material shrinks on cooling below the top of the blocks, or if other casting defects are apparent, discard the specimens and prepare additional ones. Cool the specimens at least 2 hr, but no more than 24 hr, at standard laboratory conditions before subjecting them to test conditions.

4.4.6.4 Nonimmersed Bond. Condition 3 bond test specimens, with spacers maintaining the 12.7 mm dimensions, at the test temperature of $-29 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($-20 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$), with forced air circulation, for 4 to 16 hr. Then extend the specimen 6.35 mm (0.25 in.) at a uniform rate of 3.18 mm (0.125 in.) per hr, while maintaining the specimens at the test temperature. Remove the specimens from the extension machine and reinsert the 12.7 mm spacers, and examine the spacers as described in 4.4.6.7. Then allow the specimens to return to the original dimensions at standard conditions, resting each specimen on one concrete block so that the weight of the top block recompresses the joint sealant. Three cycles of conditioning, extension, and recovery shall be complete within 5 days after the start of the first cycle, and shall constitute one complete test for the nonimmersed bond (see 4.4.6.7). When initiation of the second or third cycle is delayed, store the specimens at the test temperature.

4.4.6.5 Fuel-Immersed Bond. Insert thinner spacers between the concrete blocks of another three bond specimens, so that an opening of not less than 6.35 mm by 12.7 mm by 50 mm (0.25 in. by 0.5 in. by 2 in.) will be produced and maintained between the spacers and the sealant. Using the type containers and procedures described in 4.4.3.2, and the test fuel specified therein, immerse the specimens for 24 ± 0.25 hr in 500 cc (16.9 oz) of the test fuel maintained at $49 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($120 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$) by means of a constant temperature water bath. Place the specimens with the concrete blocks in a horizontal position. Three specimens may be placed in the same container, provided that the fuel-to-specimen ratio is maintained. At the end of the 24 hr immersion period, condition the entire assembly of test specimens, fuel, and container in an atmosphere at $-29 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ($-20 \pm 2^\circ\text{F}$) for 4 hr. Remove the test specimens from the fuel, remove the spacers, and conduct the extension test as specified

in 4.4.6.4. One cycle of immersion and three cycles of conditioning, extension, and recovery shall constitute one complete test of water-immersed bond (see 4.4.6.7).

4.4.6.6 Water-Immersed Bond. Insert thinner spacers between the concrete blocks of the remaining three bond specimens, so that an opening of not less than 6.35 mm by 12.7 mm by 50 mm (0.25 in. by 0.5 in. by 2 in.) will be produced and maintained between the spacers and the sealant. Using covered containers deep enough to provide a minimum of 12.7 mm water cover, immerse the specimens for 96 hr in 500 cc (16.9 oz) of distilled or deionized water per specimens with the concrete blocks in a horizontal position. Three specimens may be placed in the same container, provided that the water-to-specimen ratio is maintained. At the end of the 96 hr immersion period, remove the excess surface water from the specimens with a soft, dry, absorbent material. Subject the specimens to conditioning and extension test as specified in 4.4.6.4. One cycle of immersion and three cycles of conditioning, extension, and recovery shall constitute one complete test for water-immersed bond (see 4.4.6.7).

4.4.6.7 Bond Test Results. Remove the bond test specimens from the extension machine within 30 min after the completion of the extension of each of the first two test cycles and examine the specimens for obvious separations within the sealant and between the sealant and the concrete blocks without distorting or manually causing extension of the specimens. Immediately upon completion of the final cycle, insert both sets of spacers or otherwise maintain 50 percent extension during examination and dimensional measurements, examining the specimens thoroughly, while still frozen, for separations between the sealant and concrete blocks and within the sealant, including surface cracks. This shall be accomplished without distorting the specimens, but after recovery as specified in 4.4.6.4, the specimens may be extended uniformly up to 25.4 mm (1 in.) to permit further detailed examination. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.6.1, 3.6.2, and 3.6.3.

4.4.7 Resilience.

4.4.7.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare one specimen as specified in 4.4.3.1, except cure the specimens for 72 ± 4 hr at standard laboratory conditions prior to testing. Condition the specimen for 1 hr in a water bath maintained at $25 \pm 0.3^\circ$ ($77 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{F}$) prior to testing.

4.4.7.2 Procedure. Use a penetrometer as specified in ASTM D 217, substituting the ball penetration tool illustrated in Figure 1 of ASTM D 217 for the needle. Lightly dust the surface of the specimen with talc and immediately remove the excess by blowing. Place the ball in contact with the surface of the specimen and set the indicating dial to zero. Position a light so that initial contact of the ball with the specimen surface can be readily observed. Release the ball penetration tool and allow the ball to penetrate the specimen for 5 sec. Record this reading as penetration (P) in tenth-millimeter units. Without returning the dial pointer to zero, press the ball penetration tool down for an additional 100 units (i.e., to a dial reading of $P + 100$) at a uniform rate within

10 sec. Re-engage the clutch to hold the ball in this position for 5 sec and during this time return the indicator dial to zero. Release the clutch, allow the specimen to recover for 20 sec, and record the final dial reading (F). Make determinations at 3 points equally spaced from each other and not less than 13 mm (0.5 inch) from the container rim. Calculate the recovery, a measure of resilience, as follows:

$$\text{Recovery, percent} = P + 100 - F$$

Report the average of 3 determinations of recovery for the specimen. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.7.

4.5 Toxicological Data and Formulations. The manufacturer shall provide a listing of the components in the sealant that when heated could produce hazardous vapors (see 5.3.3). Where precautions need to be taken relative to the inhaling of, or skin or eye contact with the material or vapors, these precautions shall be included in the manufacturer's instructions (see 3.9 and 5.3.3.1).

4.6 Inspection of Preparation for Delivery.

4.6.1 Sampling. Sampling for inspection of filled containers shall be in accordance with MIL-STD-105, inspection Level II. The unit of product shall be one unit prepared for shipment.

4.6.2 Examination. Each filled container selected shall be inspected for conformance to the requirements of Section 5. Inspection shall be based on an acceptable quality level of 2.5 percent defective.

5. PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY

5.1 Packing. Packing shall be Level A, B, or commercial as specified (see 6.2).

5.1.1 Level A. The material shall be packed in a close-fitting tapered 24-gage metal pail with gasket and lug cover. Pails shall have a wire handle securely attached to ears or clips which shall be attached to the body of the pails. The exterior surfaces of the pails shall be coated as specified in PPP-P-704. The unit pack quantity shall be one unit of issue quantity specified in the contract or purchase order.

5.1.2 Level B. The material shall be packed the same as for Level A except that the exterior surfaces of the pail shall be coated with a commercial coating.

5.1.3 Commercial. The material shall be packed to insure carrier acceptance and safe delivery to the destination in containers complying with the rules and regulations applicable to the mode of transportation.

5.2 Palletization.

5.2.1 Level A. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.2.2 Level B and Commercial. When specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.3 Marking.

5.3.1 Civil Agencies. Shipments to civil agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-123.

5.3.2 Military Agencies. Shipments to military agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-129.

5.3.3 Special Marking. In addition to the marking of 5.3.1 or 5.3.2, and any special marking of the contract or order, the following information shall be shown on each pail:

- a. Name of sealant
- b. Specification number
- c. Manufacturer's name and material designation
- d. Manufacturer's lot and batch number
- e. Date of manufacturer (month and year)
- f. List of hazardous components (see 4.5)
- g. Quantity of sealant in pail (net weight)
- h. Application or pouring temperature
- i. Safe heating temperature
- j. Instructions for use

5.3.3.1 Instructions for Use. The instructions for use (see 6.7) shall include, but not limited to the following: ambient temperature and humidity ranges, and moisture conditions of joints, for successful installation; essential requirements for preparation of joints, heating of the sealant, handling, placing, and disposal of hot materials; and any restrictions to be adhered to in order to reduce hazards to personnel or to the environment. If it is not feasible to include all the instructions on the container without sacrificing legibility, the most important information shall be shown on the container and the full instructions referenced and furnished separately.

6. NOTES

6.1 *Intended Use.* This sealant is intended for sealing joints and cracks in rigid pavements that are subjected to the spillage of jet fuels and lubricating oils. It is not intended to be resistant to the heat and blast of jet aircraft engines, except when aircraft are moving at moderate speeds.

6.2 *Ordering Data.* Purchasers shall select the preferred options permitted herein, and include the following in procurement documents:

- a. Title, number, and date of this specification
- b. When stability samples are required, quantity to be retained and by what activity (see 3.7 and 6.4)
- c. Addresses for submission of MSDS (see 3.9 and 6.5)
- d. Sampling, if other than as specified (see 4.3)
- e. Designation of Government approved test facility (see 4.4)
- f. Level of packing required (see 5.1)
- g. If palletization is not required for Level A (see 5.2.1)
- h. When palletization is required for Level B or commercial (see 5.2.2)

6.3 *Data Requirements.* When this specification is used in an acquisition which incorporates DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) and invokes the provisions of paragraph 52.227-7031 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), the data requirements will be developed as specified by an approved Data Item Description (DD Form 1664) and delivered in accordance with the approved CDRL (DD Form 1423) incorporated into the contract. When the provisions are not invoked, the data shall be delivered in accordance with the contract requirements (see 3.10).

6.4 *Stability Samples.* The date of delivery shall be marked on samples submitted for stability testing (see 3.7)

6.5 *MSDS Submission and Forwarding.* MSDS copies shall be forwarded to the designated Industrial Hygienist and the focal point of the activity that purchased the item, and the focal point of the using activity if different from purchase activity. After review and acceptance of MSDS by designated recipients, approved copies will be forwarded to arrive at the destinations prior to material delivery (see 3.9).

6.6 *Availability of Testing Materials and Apparatus.* Known suppliers of specified testing materials and apparatus are as follows:

6.6.1 Melting Unit. A unit as specified in 4.4.2.1: Laboratory Melter Model BLM-100, Berry Corporation, P.O. Box 337, Nicholas, KY 40356.

6.6.2 Reference Fuel. Reference fuel as specified in 4.4.3.2: ASTM Reference Fuel B of ASTM D 471, Phillips Petroleum Company, Customer Service Center, Drawer "O", Borger, TX 79007.

6.6.3 Release Agent. An agent as specified in 4.4.5.1: Dow Corning 20 release coating, Dow Corning Corporation, Midland, MI 48640.

6.6.4 Concrete Blocks. Blocks as specified in 4.4.5.1: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Missouri River Division Laboratory, 420 South 18th Street, Omaha, NE 68102.

6.6.5 Blotting Paper. Paper as specified in 4.4.6.3: White Reliance Blotting Paper, Product Code 13-01-12, James River Paper Company, Incorporated, 145 James Way, Southampton, PA 18966.

6.7 Precautions. The sealant material can be damaged by heating at too high a temperature, reheating, or by heating for too long a period of time. The temperature of the sealant in the melting equipment should never exceed the safe heating temperature set by the manufacturer. Any given quantity of material should never be heated at the application or pouring temperature for more than 3 hr and should never be reheated. Sealant material left in the equipment at the end of the working day should be removed and discarded. The sealant should be heated in a kettle or tank constructed as a double boiler, with the space between the inner and outer shells filled with heat transfer oil. Positive thermostatic control, mechanical agitation, and recirculating pumps should be provided to maintain a uniform temperature of the sealant and heating oil. Direct heating is not permitted. Thermometers should be provided for continuous temperature readings of both the oil and the sealant.

Appendix C

Data Sheets and Specification Conformance Test Results for Sealant Materials Used in the Field Evaluation

Note: All information provided in this appendix was summarized from information supplied by the joint sealant manufacturers unless otherwise noted. For additional information concerning the products and Material Safety Data Sheets, please contact the specific manufacturer. The citation of trade names and/or product names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of these products.

Manufacturer: Crafc0, Inc., 6975 West Crafc0 Way, Chandler, AZ 85226,
Telephone: (800) 528-8242 or (602) 276-0406, Fax: (602) 961-0513.

Sealant: RoadSaver 222

General Information: RoadSaver 222 is a single component, hot-applied, petroleum-based pavement crack and joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in both rigid and flexible pavements. RoadSaver 222 is manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1401C and to exceed the requirements of ASTM D 1190, ASTM D 3405, AASHTO M173, and AASHTO M301. RoadSaver 222, when properly applied, should remain flexible and extendible at sub-zero temperatures and resist tracking at high summer temperatures.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties and Federal Specification SS-S-1401C results are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of RoadSaver 222 will in fact comply with the requirements of Specification SS-S-1401C.

| Test | Typical Properties | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Federal Specification SS-S-1401C Requirements |
|--|--------------------|--|---|
| Cone penetration, 77°F | 7.5 mm | 7.8 mm | 9.0 mm max. |
| Flow, 140°F | 1 mm | 0.5 mm | 3.0 mm max. |
| Resilience, 77°F Unaged Indentation Recovery | 0.08 cm 70% | 0.11 cm 73% | 0.05-0.15 cm 60% min. |
| Aged Indentation Recovery | 0.08 cm 65% | 0.10 cm 64 % | 0.05-0.15 cm 60% min. |
| Bond testing, -20°F, 50% extension Nonimmersed Water immersed | Pass Pass | Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles | Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles |
| Compatibility | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Recommended pour temp. | 380°F | 380°F | N/A |
| Safe heating temp. | 410°F | 410°F | As recommended |
| Brookfield viscosity at 380°F, ASTM D 3236 | 40 Poise | ¹ | N/A |
| Ductility, 77°F ASTM D 113 | 50 cm | ¹ | N/A |
| Softening Point, ASTM D 36 | 190°F | ¹ | N/A |
| Unit weight at 60°F | 9.6 lb/gal | ¹ | N/A |
| Coverage 1/2 in. x 1/2 in. joint | 12.5 lb/100 ft | | N/A |
| ¹ Manufacturer did not provide test data on lot number used for the field evaluation. | | | |

Manufacturer: Crafcro, Inc., 6975 West Crafcro Way, Chandler, AZ 85226,
Telephone: (800) 528-8242 or (602) 276-0406, **FAX:** (602) 961-0513.

Sealant: Improved Non-Fuel-Resistant Sealant

General Information: The manufacturer had not developed or provided a product data sheet on this material because it was formulated for the field evaluation. The Improved Non-Fuel-Resistant material is a single component, hot-applied petroleum-based pavement crack and joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in both rigid and flexible pavements. This sealant is manufactured to meet the requirements of a proposed non-fuel-resistant specification (See Appendix A). The sealant is formulated to maintain a greater amount of flexibility and extensibility at sub-zero temperatures and resist tracking at high summer temperatures.

Physical Properties: Typical physical property test results provided by the manufacturer are given below. Generally, this sealant will have a lower modulus than typical sealants manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1401C.

| Test Results for Pilot Production of Batch of Mixture 230-3 | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Requirement | Result | Specification Limits |
| Penetration, mm | 12.7 | 9.0 - 15.0 |
| Flow, mm | 0.0 | 3.0 max |
| Resilience, percent | 73 | 50 - 80 |
| Indentation, mm | 2.8 | 1.0 - 3.5 |
| Aged Resilience, percent | 67 | 50 - 80 |
| Indentation, mm | 2.6 | 1.0 - 3.5 |
| Bond to concrete, Nonimmersed Water immersed | Satisfactory Satisfactory | Satisfactory Satisfactory |
| Static adhesion | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| Compatibility | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |

Manufacturer: Crafcro, Inc., 6975 West Crafcro Way, Chandler, AZ 85226,
Telephone: (800) 528-8242 or (602) 276-0406, **FAX:** (602) 961-0513.

Sealant: RoadSaver Silicone SL Sealant

General Information: RoadSaver Silicone Sealant is a single component, low modulus, moisture curing system formulated to provide a flexible seal for joints in portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements. RoadSaver Silicone Sealant offers weathering resistance and flexibility to temperatures as low as -50°F.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. An industry accepted material specification does not exist for pavement silicone joint sealant materials; therefore, the specification limits listed below are those recommended by Crafcro, Inc. These results do not infer that all lot numbers of RoadSaver Silicone SL Sealant will conform to the specified requirements.

| Results for RoadSaver Silicone SL Sealant Used in Fairchild AFB Test Section | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|
| Uncured Properties | Test Results | Recommended Specification |
| Extrusion Rate (Mil 8802) | 730 g/min. | 450-750 g/min. |
| Skinover Time ¹ | 65 min. | 2 hours min. |
| Leveling at 77°F (ASTM C639) | Pass | Pass |
| Cured Properties | Test Results | Recommended Specifications |
| Through Cure Time, 1/2" x 1/2" ¹ | 7 days | 21 day max. |
| Elongation (ASTM D412-C) ² | 825% | 800% max. |
| Stress at 150% (ASTM D412-C) ² | 19 psi | 30 psi max. |
| Shore OO Hardness (ASTM D2240) ² | 63 | 40-80 |
| Specific Gravity (ASTM D792-A) ² | 1.28 | 1.10-1.40 |
| Adhesion to Concrete (Mil 8802) ³ | 25 pli + | 20 pli min. |
| Bond and Movement Capability ³ ±50% (ASTM C719) | Pass 10 cycles | Pass 10 cycles |
| Accelerated Weathering (ASTM C793) ² | Pass 5,000 hours | Pass 5,000 hours |
| Bond to Mortar (AASHTO T132) ³ | 75 psi + | 50 psi min. |
| Tensile Adhesion % Elongation ⁴ ASTM D3583 (section 14 modified) | 825% | 600% |
| ¹ Tested at 77 ± 3°F and 50 ± 5% humidity. ² Specimens shall be obtained from 1/8 in. thickness sheets of material which has been cured for 21 days at 77 ± 3°F and 50 ± 5% relative humidity. ³ Specimens cured for 28 days at 77 ± 3°F and 50 ± 5% humidity. ⁴ Specimen shall be 1/2" x 1/2" cured 21 days at 77 ± 3°F and 50 ± 5% humidity. | | |

Manufacturer: Crafc0, Inc., 6975 West Crafc0 Way, Chandler, AZ 85226,
Telephone: (800) 528-8242 or (602) 276-0406, **FAX:** (602) 961-0513.

Sealant: Superseal 1614A

General Information: Superseal 1614A is a hot-applied, pavement joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in rigid pavements that are exposed to fuel spillage. Superseal 1614A is manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1614A, ASTM D 3581, and ASTM D 1854. Superseal 1614A is supplied in liquid form and when heated and applied into the joint, cools to form a tough and resilient seal. Superseal 1614A should not be used to seal cracks in asphaltic pavements.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties and Federal Specification SS-S-1614A results are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of Superseal 1614A will in fact comply with the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1614A.

| Test | Typical Properties | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Federal Specification SS-S-1614A Requirements |
|--|--------------------|--|---|
| Cone penetration, 77°F | 1.15 cm | 1.17 cm | 1.30 cm max. |
| Fuel-immersed penetration, 77°F | 1.00 cm | 0.96 cm | 1.55 cm max. |
| Flow, 140°F | 0.0 cm | 0.0 cm | 3.0 cm max. |
| Bond testing, -20°F, 50% extension | | | |
| Nonimmersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Water immersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Fuel-immersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Change-in-weight | -0.3% | -0.68% | ± 2.0% |
| Recommended pour temp. | 250°F | 250°F | N/A |
| Safe heating temp. | 270°F | 270°F | As recommended |
| Brookfield viscosity at 270°F, ASTM D 3236 | 45 Poise | ¹ | N/A |
| Unit weight at 60°F | 10 lb/gal | | N/A |
| Coverage 1/2 in. x 1 in. joint | 26 lb/100 ft | | N/A |
| ¹ Manufacturer did not provide test data on lot number used for the field evaluation. | | | |

Manufacturer: Crafcro, Inc., 6975 West Crafcro Way, Chandler, AZ 85226,
Telephone: (800) 528-8242 or (602) 276-0406, FAX: (602) 961-0513.

Sealant: Improved Jet-Fuel-Resistant Sealant

General Information: The manufacturer had not developed or provided a product data sheet on this material because it was formulated during the CPAR laboratory evaluation. The Improved Jet-Fuel-Resistant material is a single component, hot-applied, pavement crack and joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in rigid pavements that will be exposed to fuel spillage. This sealant is manufactured to meet the requirements of a proposed jet-fuel-resistant specification (See Appendix B). The sealant is formulated to maintain a greater amount of flexibility and extensibility at low temperatures and resist tracking at high summer temperatures. This sealant should not be used to seal cracks in asphaltic pavements.

Physical Properties: Typical physical property test results were provided by the manufacturer. Generally, this sealant will have a lower modulus than typical sealants manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1614A.

| Test Results of Mixture CP-87 Pilot Production Batch | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|
| Test | Results | Specification Requirements |
| Nonimmersed penetration | 13.5 | 16.0 mm max |
| Fuel-immersed penetration | 11.3 | Not greater than nonimmersed |
| Aged penetration retention | 87 percent | 70 percent min |
| Change in mass by fuel-immersion | -0.1 percent | ± 1.0 percent max |
| Flow | 0.0 | 3.0 mm max |
| Bond to concrete | | |
| Nonimmersed | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| Fuel-immersed | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| Water immersed | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| Resilience | 65 percent | 45 percent min |

Manufacturer: Dow Corning Corporation, 3901 S. Saginaw Road, Midland, MI 48686-0994, Telephone: (800) 248-2481

Sealant: Dow Corning¹ 890-SL Self-Leveling Silicone Joint Sealant

General Information: Dow Corning 890-SL is a single component, ultra-low modulus, moisture curing joint sealant formulated to provide a flexible seal for joints or cracks in portland cement concrete (PCC) and asphaltic pavements. Dow Corning 890-SL offers weathering resistance and remains elastic and rubbery from -50°F to 300°F without tearing or cracking.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. An industry accepted material specification does not exist for pavement silicone joint sealant materials; therefore, the test procedures listed below are those recommended by Dow Corning Corporation. These results do not infer that all lot numbers of 890-SL will conform to the specified requirements.

| Test ¹ | Typical Properties | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| As Supplied | | |
| Color | Charcoal gray | Charcoal gray |
| Through cure time | 14-21 days | ² |
| Flow, sag or slump | Self-leveling | Self-leveling |
| Viscosity | 120 Poise | ² |
| Extrusion rate | 400 grams/min | 309 grams/min |
| Percent solids | 97 percent | 97 percent |
| Specific gravity | 1.3-1.4 | 1.277 |
| Working time | 15 min | ² |
| Skin-over-time at 77°F | 30 min (60 min max.) | 18 min |
| Achieves full adhesion | 14-21 days | ² |
| After 21 days cure at 77°F and 50 percent Rh | | |
| Elongation | 1,400 minimum | 1600 percent |
| Modulus at 50 percent elongation | 7 psi maximum | 2 psi |
| Modulus at 100 percent elongation | 8 psi maximum | 5 psi |
| Modulus at 150 percent elongation | 9 psi maximum | 5 psi |
| Durometer hardness, Shore 00 | 40 | 50 |
| Adhesion to concrete elongation | + 600 percent min | 1152 percent |
| Adhesion to asphalt elongation | + 600 percent min | ² |
| Coverage, 1/4 in. x 1/4 in. sealant bead 3/8 in. x 1/4 in. sealant bead 3/4 in. x 3/8 in. sealant bead | 275 ft/gal 185 ft/gal 60 ft/gal | N/A N/A N/A |
| ¹ The manufacturer prefers the specification writers contact Dow Corning Corporation before writing specifications for this product. ² Test results not provided by the manufacturer. | | |

¹ "Dow Corning" is a registered trademark of Dow Corning Corporation.

Manufacturer: Dow Corning Corporation, 3901 S. Saginaw Road, Midland, MI 48686-0994, Telephone: (800) 248-2481

Sealant: Dow Corning¹ 902 RCS Joint Sealant

General Information: Dow Corning 902 RCS (rapid cure silicone) is a two-component, ultra-low modulus, self-leveling, rapid curing system formulated to provide a flexible seal for expansion joints that experience both thermal and vertical movements due to traffic loading. Dow Corning 902 RCS offers weathering resistance and remains elastic and rubbery from -45°F to 300°F without tearing or cracking.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. An industry accepted material specification does not exist for pavement silicone joint sealant materials; therefore, the test procedures listed below are those recommended by Dow Corning Corporation. These results do not infer that all lot numbers of 902 RCS will conform to the specified requirements.

| Test ¹ | Typical Properties | | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | |
|--|---------------------|--------|--|--------|
| | Part A | Part B | Part A | Part B |
| As Supplied | | | | |
| Color | Black | White | Black | White |
| Flow, sag or slump | Self-leveling | | Self-leveling | |
| Extrusion rate | 200-550 grams/min | | ² | 287 |
| Specific gravity | 1.3-1.4 | | 1.281 | 1.296 |
| After 21 days cure at 77°F and 50 percent Rh | | | | |
| Skin-over time | 10-15 min | | 9 min | |
| Tack-free time | 30-60 min | | ² | |
| Elongation ³ | 600 percent minimum | | | |
| Modulus at 100 percent elongation | 3-8 psi | | 7.9 psi | |
| Cure rate, percent total cure | | | | |
| 50 percent cure | 4-6 hr | | N/A | |
| 75 percent cure | 24 hr | | N/A | |
| 100 percent cure | 48-160 hr | | N/A | |
| Coverage, 1 in. x 1/2 in. sealant bead | 14 ft ⁴ | | N/A | |

¹ The manufacturer prefers that specification writers contact Dow Corning Corporation before writing specifications for this product.

² Test results not provided by the manufacturer.

³ Joint size is 1/2 in. by 1/2 in. by 2 in.

⁴ Based on one kit containing two 26 ounce E-Z PAK¹ sausages.

¹ "Dow Corning" and E-Z PAK are registered trade marks of Dow Corning Corporation.

Manufacturer: Koch Materials Company, P.O. Box 510, Highway 66 East, Stroud, OK 74079, Telephone: (800) 654-9182 or (918) 968-3541.

Sealant: Product 9005

General Information: Product 9005 is a single component, hot-applied pavement crack and joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in both rigid and flexible pavements. Product 9005 is manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1401C, ASTM D 3405, AASHTO M-301-851, and FAA Specification P-605, Type III. Product 9005, when properly applied, should form a resilient and adhesive material that will seal joints and cracks against moisture infiltration while remaining flexible at low temperatures and will not flow from the joint at ambient temperatures.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties and Federal Specification SS-S-1401C results are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of Product 9005 will in fact comply with the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1401C.

| Test | Typical Properties | Test Results for Batch Used in Field Evaluation | Federal Specification SS-S-1401C Requirements |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Cone penetration, 77°F | 7.7 mm | 7.7 mm | 9.0 mm max. |
| Flow, 140°F | 0 mm | 1.0 mm | 3.0 mm max. |
| Resilience, 77°F Unaged Indentation Recovery ² Aged Indentation Recovery | 70 percent 65 percent | 0.1 cm 70 percent 0.09 cm 68 percent | 0.05-0.15 cm 60 percent min. 0.05-0.15 cm 60 percent min. |
| Bond testing, -20°F, 50 percent extension Nonimmersed Water immersed | Pass Pass | Pass Pass | Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles |
| Compatibility ² | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Recommended pour temp. | 370°F | 370°F | N/A |
| Safe heating temp. | 390°F | 390°F | As recommended |
| Nonimmersed bond, 0°F, 100 percent extension | Pass | | N/A |
| Brookfield viscosity at 370°F at 390°F | 88 Poise 50 Poise | 1 1 | N/A N/A |
| Ductility, 39.2°F ASTM D 113 | 40 cm | 1 | N/A |
| Softening point, ASTM D 36 | 190°F | 1 | N/A |
| Unit weight | 9.8 lb/gal | 1 | N/A |
| Coverage 1/2 in. x 1/2 in. joint | 12.3 lb/100 ft | | N/A |
| ¹ Not provided in manufacturer's literature or specific test results. ² Manufacturer's data provided for testing as conducted in ASTM D 3407. | | | |

Manufacturer: Koch Materials Company, P.O. Box 510, Highway 66 East, Stroud, OK 74079, Telephone: (800) 654-9182 or (918) 968-3541.

Sealant: Product 9012

General Information: Product 9012 is a hot-applied, pavement joint sealant material which can be used to seal the joints or cracks in rigid pavements that are exposed to fuel spillage. Product 9012 is manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1614A, ASTM D 3406, ASTM D 3581, ASTM D 3569, ASTM D 1854, and AASHTO M-282. Product 9012 is supplied in solid form and when heated and applied into the joint, cools to form a resilient and adhesive compound that is resistant to weathering, jet fuels, lubricating oils, and will seal joints and cracks against moisture infiltration and debris retention. Product 9012 remains flexible at low temperatures and will not be picked up by pneumatic tires at ambient temperatures. Product 9012 should not be used to seal cracks in asphaltic pavements.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties and Federal Specification SS-S-1614A results are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of Product 9012 will in fact comply with the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-1614A.

| Test | Typical Properties | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Federal Specification SS-S-1614A Requirements |
|---|--------------------|--|---|
| Cone penetration, 77°F | 1.10 cm | 1.15 cm | 1.30 cm max. |
| Fuel-immersed penetration, 77°F | 1.05 cm | 1.20 cm | 1.55 cm max. |
| Flow, 140°F | 0 cm | 0 cm | 3.0 cm max. |
| Bond testing, -20°F, 50 percent extension | | | |
| Nonimmersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Water immersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Fuel-immersed | Pass | Pass | Pass 3 cycles |
| Change-in-weight | 0.67 percent | 1.1 percent | ± 2.0 percent |
| Recommended pour temp. | 260°F | 260°F | N/A |
| Safe heating temp. | 280°F | 280°F | As recommended |

Manufacturer: Koch Materials Company, P.O. Box 510, Highway 66 East, Stroud, OK 74079, Telephone: (800) 654-9182 or (918) 968-3541.

Sealant: Product 9020

General Information: Product 9020 is a two-component, cold-applied, polysulfide based, hand pourable material which is specifically designed for use on civilian and military airfields. Product 9020 is formulated to be resistant to fuel spillage, lubricating oils, and to the direct heat and blast of aircraft engines. Product 9020 is manufactured to meet the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-200E, Type H, and FAA Specification P-605-2, 1, Type IV. Product 9020 will form an effective seal against the infiltration of water, retention of debris, will be flexible at low temperatures and will not flow or sag from the joints or be picked up by pneumatic tires at temperatures of 200°F or below. Product 9020 cannot be used to seal cracks in asphaltic pavements.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties and Federal Specification SS-S-200E results are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of Product 9020 will in fact comply with the requirements of Federal Specification SS-S-200E.

| Test | Typical Properties | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Federal Specification SS-S-200E Requirements |
|--|--|--|--|
| Viscosity, poises Component A Component B | 300 Poise 500 Poise | 300 Poise 500 Poise | 1,500 Poise max. 1,500 Poise max. |
| Working life | 3.5 hr | 3.5 hr | 3 hr min. |
| Tack free time | 4 hr | 4 hr | 12 hr max. |
| Accelerated aging | Pass | Pass | No visual or physical change |
| Self-leveling Level plane 1.5 percent incline | 1/32 in. 1/16 in. | 1/32 in. 1/16 in. | 1/16 in. max 1/8 in. max |
| Change in mass | 1.4 percent | 1.15 percent | 2.0 percent max. |
| Change in volume | 1.1 percent | 1.10 percent | 5.0 percent max. |
| Resilience, 77°F Unaged Indentation Recovery Aged Indentation Recovery | 0.08 cm 90 percent 0.07 cm 89 percent | 0.08 cm 90 percent 0.07 cm 89 percent | 0.05-0.20 cm 75 percent max. 0.05-0.20 cm 75 percent min. |
| Artificial weathering | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Bond testing, -20°F, 50 percent extension Nonimmersed Fuel immersed Water immersed | Pass Pass Pass | Pass Pass Pass | Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles |
| Flow, 200°F | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Flame resistance | Pass | Pass | No ignition, flow or hardening |

Manufacturer: Koch Materials Company, P.O. Box 510, Highway 66 East, Stroud, OK 74079, Telephone: (800) 654-9182 or (918) 968-3541.

Sealant: Product 9050 SL-M

General Information: Product 9050 SL-M is a single component, cold-applied, polysulfide based, self-leveling, low modulus material which cures on exposure to moisture. Product 9050 SL-M is without the inclusion of blisters, bubbles, or discontinuities formulated to seal joints or cracks in rigid pavements and is suitable for use in areas that will be subjected to continuous water immersion and hydrostatic water pressure. Product 9050 SL-M will meet the fuel immersed bond test of Federal Specification SS-S-200E and ASTM D 3569 as well as the heat blast resistance requirement of Federal Specification SS-S-200E. Product 9050 SL-M cannot be used to seal cracks in asphaltic pavements.

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. The test results do not infer that all lot or batch numbers of Product 9050 SL-M will in fact comply with specified requirements. An industry accepted pavement joint sealant material specification does not exist for this material; therefore, the test method for each test used by the manufacturer is provided.

| Test | Test Method | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Typical Properties |
|--|------------------------|--|---|
| Sealant consistency | -- | Self-leveling | Self-leveling |
| Viscosity, 77°F | ASTM D 2393 | 20,000 cps | 15,000-30,000 |
| Tack free time | ASTM C 679 | 45 min | 30-75 min |
| Specific gravity | ASTM D 1475 | 1.54 g/cm ³ | 1.5-1.56 |
| Durometer Shore A | ASTM D 2240 | 17 | 10-25 |
| Resiliency ¹ | ASTM D 3583 | 83 percent | 80 percent min. |
| Modulus at 150 percent elongation, Die C | | | |
| Total elongation, Die C | ASTM D 412 | 825 percent | 800 percent min. |
| Bond testing ² , -20°F, 100 percent ext. Nonimmersed Fuel immersed Water immersed | ASTM D 3583 | Pass Pass Pass | Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles Pass 3 cycles |
| Change in mass ¹ | ASTM D 3583 | 0.94 percent | 1.5 percent max. |
| Change in volume ¹ | SS-S-200E | 1.01 percent | 2.0 percent max. |
| Flame resistance | SS-S-200E | Pass | Pass |
| Tensile adhesion | ASTM D 3583 | 500 percent | 400 percent min. |
| Artificial weathering | ASTM D 3583 | Pass | Pass |
| LOX impact test at ambient temperature, 7.2 ft-lb | NHB 8060.1B Test 13 | Pass | ³ |
| ¹ Koch Materials Company sample preparation method used. | | | |
| ² Sealant configuration was 1/2 in. by 1/2 in. by 2 in. | | | |
| ³ Not provided by the manufacturer. | | | |

Manufacturer: Mobay Corporation, Inorganic Chemical Division, Mobay Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741, Telephone: (412) 777-2000.

Sealant: Baysilone 960 Silicone Concrete Joint Sealant

General Information: Baysilone 960 is a single component, low modulus, moisture curing joint sealant formulated to provide a flexible seal for joints in portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements. Baysilone 960 offers weathering resistance and remains elastic and rubbery in temperatures ranging from -40°F to 300°F. Baysilone 960 is manufactured to meet or exceed the requirements of Federal Specifications TT-S-0023C (COM-NBS) and TT-S-001543A (COM-NBS).

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. An industry accepted material specification does not exist for pavement silicone joint sealant materials; therefore, the test procedures listed below are those recommended by Mobay Corporation. These results do not infer that all lot numbers of Baysilone 960 will conform to the specified requirements.

| Test | Test Method | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Typical Properties |
|--|--------------|--|---|
| Uncured Properties | | | |
| Color | -- | Gray | Gray |
| Flow or sag | ASTM D 2202 | 0.1 in. | 0.2 in. max. |
| Working time | -- | ² | 15 min |
| Tack free tir. e ¹ | ASTM C 679 | 71 min | 35-90 min |
| Through cure 1/4 in. ¹ | -- | ² | 14 days |
| Extrusion rate | ASTM C 603 | 145 gm/min | 130 ± 25 gm/min |
| Specific gravity | -- | 1.18 | 1.18 ± 0.02 |
| After cured 21 days at 77°F and 50 percent R.H. | | | |
| Durometer Shore A | ASTM D 2240 | 15 | 15 ± 5 |
| Modulus at 150 percent elongation, Die C | ASTM D 412 | 43 psi | 35 ± 5 psi |
| Total elongation, Die C | ASTM D 412 | ² | 700 ± 150 percent |
| Ultimate tensile | ASTM D 412 | ² | 90 ± 20 psi |
| Joint movement | ASTM C 719 | ² | ± 50 percent |
| Bond to concrete | AASHTO T-132 | ² | 50 ± 5 percent |
| Shelf life | | ² | 9 months min. |
| Ozone and U.V. resistance | | ² | No chalking, cracking, or bond loss after 5000 hr |
| ¹ Laboratory conditions 77°F and 50 percent R. H. ² Not provided by the manufacturer. | | | |

Manufacturer: Mobay Corporation, Inorganic Chemicals Division, Mobay Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741, Telephone: (412) 777-2000.

Sealant: Baysilone 960 Silicone Self-Leveling Highway Silicone Joint Sealant (This sealant was removed from the market soon after the test section was installed and as of December 1991 had not been replaced with another product).

General Information: Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling is a single component, low modulus, moisture curing joint sealant formulated to provide a flexible seal for joints in portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements. Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling offers weathering resistance and remains elastic and rubbery in temperatures ranging from -40°F to 300°F. Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling is manufactured to meet or exceed the requirements of Federal Specifications TT-S-00230C (COM-NBS) and TT-S-001543A (COM-NBS).

Physical Properties: Typical physical properties are provided below. An industry accepted material specification does not exist for pavement silicone joint sealant materials; therefore, the test procedures listed below are those recommended by Mobay Corporation. These results do not infer that all lot numbers of Baysilone 960 Self-Leveling will conform to the specified requirements.

| Test | Test Method | Test Results for Lot Number Used in Field Evaluation | Typical Properties |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| Uncured Properties | | | |
| Color | -- | Gray | Gray |
| Flow or sag | -- | Self-leveling | Self-leveling |
| Working time | -- | ² | 15 min |
| Tack free time ¹ | ASTM C 679 | 137 min | 1-2 hr |
| Viscosity | Brookfield | ² | 20,000-50,000 cst |
| Specific gravity | -- | ² | 1.37 |
| Durometer Shore A | ASTM D 2240 | 2 | 4 ± 2 |
| Modulus at 150 percent elongation, Die C | ASTM D 412 | 31 psi | 40 psi max. |
| Total elongation, Die C | ASTM D 412 | ² | 500 percent min. |
| Ultimate tensile | ASTM D 412 | ² | 60 psi min. |
| Joint movement | ASTM C 719 | ² | ± 50 percent |
| Ozone and U.V. resistance | | ² | No chalking, cracking, or bond loss after 5,000 hr |
| ¹ Laboratory conditions 77°F and 50 percent R.H. | | | |
| ² Not provided by the manufacturer. | | | |

Appendix D

Proposed Specification for Hot-Applied, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant Pavement Joint Sealant Primers for Rigid Pavements¹

1. **SCOPE:** This specification covers primer materials for use with non-jet-fuel-resistant pavement joint sealant materials when sealing joints and cracks in rigid pavements.

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 The following documents, of the issues in effect on the date of invitation for bids or request for proposal, form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein.

Federal Specification

SS-S-1401C - Sealant, Joint, Non-Jet-Fuel-Resistant, Hot-Applied, for Portland Cement and Asphalt Concrete Pavements

Federal Standards

FED-STD-123 - Marking for Shipment (Civil Agencies)

FED-STD-313 - Material Safety Data Sheets Preparation and the Submission of

Military Standards

MIL-STD-105 - Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes

MIL-STD-129 - Marking for Shipment and Storage

¹ This proposed specification has not been finalized and changes may occur before it is published as a material specification. Therefore, its use may not be applicable for project specifications.

MIL-STD-147 - Palletized Unit Loads

Federal Regulations

29 CFR 1900-1999 - Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor

2.2 Other Publications. The following documents form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein. Unless a specific issue is identified, the issue in effect on date of invitation for bid or request for proposal shall apply.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

- C 711 - Low Temperature Flexibility and Tenacity of One-Part, Elastomeric, Solvent-Release Type Sealants
- D 5 - Penetration of Bituminous Materials, Test Method for
- D 140 - Sampling Bituminous Materials, Methods of
- D 1985 - Preparing Concrete Blocks for Testing Sealants for Joints and Cracks, Standard Practice for
- D 2823 - Asphalt Roof Coatings, Standard Specification for

2.3 Order of Precedence. In the event of a conflict between the text of this specification and the references cited herein, the text of this specification shall have precedence.

3. REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Description.

3.1.1 Material. The primer shall be a single-component, liquid composition that cures after application and which can be spray or brush applied to pavement crack or joint surfaces at temperatures between 50 and 120°F. The manufacturer of the primer shall specify the specific sealant products that are compatible with the primer.

3.1.2 Performance. The primer shall cure to form a well-bonded, durable surface coating. The cured primer coating shall be non-tacky and capable of filling and sealing voids in portland cement concrete joint and crack faces. When cured, the primer will assist in restricting passage of moisture through the joint or crack face and will not adversely affect sealant performance.

3.2 Viscosity. Viscosity shall be a minimum of 25 cp and shall not exceed 250 cp when tested as specified in 4.4.3.

3.3 Tack Free Time. Tack free time shall not exceed 10 min when tested as specified in 4.4.4.

3.4 Cured Evaluation. There shall be no reversion to a liquid when conditioned for 60 min at 158°F when tested as specified in 4.4.5.

3.5 Non-Volatile Content. Non-Volatile content shall be a minimum of 20 percent and shall not exceed 40 percent when tested as specified in 4.4.6

3.6 Permeability. Permeability shall not exceed 10 percent when tested as specified in 4.4.7.

3.7 Low Temperature Flexibility. None of the specimens shall develop any cracks greater than 1/4 in. in length or exhibit any adhesion loss when tested as specified in 4.4.8.

3.8 Adhesion. None of the specimens shall develop any crack, separation, or opening between the sealant and the concrete block when tested as specified in 4.4.9.

3.9 Storage Stability. When specified (see 6.2), the user agency will retain samples for verification of these requirements: The primer, when stored for 2 years from date of delivery, at temperatures from -18°C to 46°F (0°F to 115°F), and tested in accordance with this specification, shall meet all of the requirements herein.

3.10 Toxicity. The material shall have no adverse effect on the health of personnel when used for its intended purpose in the manner recommended by the manufacturer. Questions pertinent to this effect shall be referred by the acquiring activity to the appropriate medical service who will act as advisor to the acquiring activity. The manufacturer's instructions shall provide personnel protection to meet OSHA requirements, including 29 CFR 1910.1000, 1910.1002, and 1910.1017, as applicable (see 4.5).

3.11 Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS). MSDSs shall be prepared in accordance with FED-STD-313 and submitted as directed (see 6.2, 6.3, and 6.5).

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

4.1 Responsibility for Inspection. Unless otherwise specified, the contractor is responsible for the performance of all inspection requirements and may use his own or any other facilities suitable for the performance of the inspection requirements that are approved by the user agency. The user agency reserves the right to perform any inspections set forth in the specification where such inspections are deemed necessary to assure supplies and services conform to prescribed requirements.

4.1.1 Materials Inspection. The contractor is responsible for insuring that supplies and materials are inspected for compliance with all the requirements specified herein and in applicable referenced documents.

4.2 Classification of Inspections. The inspection requirements specified herein are classified as follows:

- a. Quality conformance inspection (see 4.2.1).

b. Inspection of preparation for delivery (see 4.6).

4.2.1 Quality Conformance Inspection. The quality conformance inspection shall be as specified in 4.4. Sampling shall be in accordance with 4.3.

4.3 Sampling. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), samples for testing shall be taken at the point of manufacturer in accordance with ASTM D 140. It shall be the responsibility of the contractor to determine that the samples taken are representative of the batches for shipment. The representative composite sample of the primer shall consist of not less than 2 liters (0.52 gallons) from each batch. A lot consisting of a single batch, if taken from filled containers, shall be sampled from 3 containers, selected at random, to make up the composite sample. The sample shall immediately be placed in an appropriate airtight sealed container to prevent loss of volatiles prior to testing. Sample identification shall include the name of the testing agency, the contract or purchase order number, and special marking as specified in 5.3.3. Each container from which sample material has been taken shall be sealed and marked for identification.

4.4 Testing. Testing shall be conducted at a user-agency approved facility (see 6.2). Samples taken as specified in 4.3 shall be tested as specified in 4.4.1 through 4.4.9. Individual test values, and results of failure analyses of individual shall be recorded. Failure of the primer to pass any test shall be cause for rejection of the lot, except as noted for a marginal test result. The exceptions for marginal test results are as follows: Where test results of only one of the specified tests are judged by the testing agency to be marginal as to meeting the requirements, the testing agency has the option to perform a retest. If the testing agency does not exercise its option to retest, either the contractor or the user agency may request that a retest for that property be made at the requester's expense. Such a test will be made only when an adequate quantity of the original sample is available or where additional material can be obtained from the previously marked sample containers.

4.4.1 Standard Conditions. Laboratory atmospheric conditions, hereinafter referred to as standard conditions, shall have a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($73 \pm 4^{\circ}\text{F}$) and 50 ± 5 percent relative humidity. Specimens shall be stored and tested at standard laboratory conditions unless otherwise specified.

4.4.2 Specimen Preparation. Prior to initiating testing, the primer shall be stored at standard laboratory conditions for a minimum of 24 hr to temperature condition the material. Care must be during sample preparation to minimize volatile loss. The sample container must remain tightly sealed at all times except when required for testing purposes. The primer shall be thoroughly mixed to ensure uniformity prior to testing.

4.4.3 Viscosity.

4.4.3.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare sample by pouring 700 ± 100 ml of primer into a 1 qt (0.946 L) metal open top can that has a double friction lid. After pouring the primer into the can, seal the can with the double friction lid.

Place the sealed container in a constant temperature water bath maintained at $77 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{F}$ for 2 ± 0.5 hr.

4.4.3.2 Test. Remove the sealed container from the water bath, dry container, and remove the lid. Stir the sample to ensure uniformity and test using a Brookfield Model HAT viscometer (or equal) using Probe No. 1 and a speed of 50 rpm. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.2.

4.4.4 Tack Free Time.

4.4.4.1 Specimen Preparation. Obtain a 2" X 3" X 1" concrete block prepared in accordance with ASTM D 1985. Remove the concrete block from the storage water and scrub the 2" X 3" faces with a stiff bristle brush, under running water. After washing, lightly blot the surfaces of the block with an oil-free, soft absorbent cloth or paper to remove all free surface water. Place the block, with one of the 2" X 1" faces down, on a sheet of blotter paper placed on a plane, solid, nonabsorbent surface. Allow the block to dry at standard conditions for 1 hr.

4.4.4.2 Block Coating. Pour approximately 700 ml primer into a 1 qt (0.946 L) open top metal can. Immerse the concrete block totally into the primer for 3 sec. Remove the block from the primer by gripping the 1" X 3" sides using forceps. Hold the block over the container with the 3" faces in a vertical position for 60 sec.

4.4.4.3 Testing. Immediately after the draining period, place the primer coated block with a 2" X 3" side down on a 2" X 3" support as illustrated in Figure 1 placed on a level surface. Allow the specimen to cure for 10 min at standard laboratory conditions. After the 10 min cure time, place a 150 mm X 25 mm X 0.1 mm (6" X 1" X 0.004") polyethylene film on the top surface of the primer coated block with the 6" axis of the film aligned with the 3" axis of the block. Load the polyethylene film for 30 sec with a metal plate approximately 41 mm X 29 mm (1.6" X 1.1"), with not less than 30 or more than 31 g mass. Remove the plate, and withdraw the film, uniformly and progressively, at right angles to the surface of the primer. Primer adhering to the polyethylene film shall constitute failure to conform to the requirements specified in 3.3.

4.4.5 Cured Evaluation.

4.4.5.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare one concrete block as specified in 4.4.4.1.

4.4.5.2 Block Coating. Coat the concrete block with primer as specified in 4.4.4.2.

4.4.5.3 Testing. Test the specimen as described in 4.4.4.3, except allow the specimen to cure for 60 min before applying the polyethylene film and metal plate. After placing the polyethylene film and metal plate on the specimen, place the sample in a forced draft oven maintained at 158°F for 60 min.

Remove the specimen from the oven, remove the metal plate and remove the film uniformly and progressively, at right angles to the surface of the primed block while it is still at temperature. Primer adhering to the polyethylene film shall constitute failure to conform to the requirements specified in 3.4.

4.4.6 Non-Volatile Content

4.4.6.1 *Procedure.* Use non-volatile matter determination as specified in ASTM D 2823, Section 8.2. Determine conformance to requirements of 3.5.

4.4.7 Permeability.

4.4.7.1 *Specimen Preparation.* Prepare three concrete blocks as specified in 4.4.4.1 except immediately after blotting to remove the free surface water, weigh and record the surface-dried weight of each block (A) to the nearest 0.01 gm. After weighing each block, place them in a forced draft oven set at $135 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($275 \pm 9^{\circ}\text{F}$) and dry them to a constant weight. Constant weight shall be verified by successive weighing using a scale accurate to 0.01 gm on successive hourly weighings. Allow the blocks to cool in a desiccator for 1 hr, then label each block, weigh and record the oven-dried weight (B) to the nearest 0.01 gm, and store in the desiccator until use.

4.4.7.2 *Block Coating.* Coat each block with primer as specified in 4.4.4.2. After allowing the specimen to drain for 60 sec, place the specimen on the support as illustrated in Figure 1 with one of the 2" X 3" sides facing down. Allow the specimen to cure for 4 hr at standard laboratory conditions.

4.4.7.3 *Test Procedure.* After the 4 hr curing period, weigh the coated block to the nearest 0.01 gm and record the weight (C). Then place each block in a 1 liter glass beaker which contains 500 ± 50 ml of distilled water maintained at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 hr. At the end of the 1 hr period, remove the blocks from the water and blot the surfaces with an oil-free, soft, absorbent cloth to remove all free surface moisture. Immediately weigh the block to the nearest 0.01 gm and record the weight (D).

4.4.7.4 *Calculations.* Calculate the permeability using the following equation:

$$\text{Permeability} = \frac{D - C}{A - B} \times 100\%$$

- A = Saturated surface dried weight of the uncoated block
- B = Oven-dried block weight
- C = Coated block weight (block and primer)
- D = Saturated surface dried weight of coated block

Calculate the permeability for each block and average the results. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.6.

4.4.8 Low Temperature Flexibility.

4.4.8.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare test specimens in accordance with ASTM C 711. Due to the low viscosity of the primer, it will be necessary to seal the interface between the template and the plate with a solvent resistant sealer to prevent leakage of the primer. Fill the sealed template flush with primer and condition the sample for 24 ± 2 hr at standard laboratory conditions. After conditioning, remove the mold by cutting around the edges using a sharp knife.

4.4.8.2 Testing Procedure. Place the specimen in a forced draft oven maintained at $158 \pm 3.6^\circ\text{F}$ for 16 hr and then further condition the specimen in a freeze maintained at $32 \pm 1^\circ\text{F}$ for 1 hr. After conditioning in the freezer for 1 hr, remove the specimen from the freezer and immediately bend the specimen through 180° over a $1/4$ in. diameter mandrel with the primer side uppermost. The bend shall be performed in not less than 1 sec and not more than 2 sec. Immediately after bending, examine the primer for cracking, separation, delamination, and adhesion loss. Minor surface crazing or hairline cracks and minor edge cracking shall not constitute failure. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.7.

4.4.9 Adhesion.

4.4.9.1 Specimen Preparation. Prepare 6 concrete blocks as specified in 4.4.4.1 and 4.4.4.2. Allow the specimens to cure for 1 hr and then assemble the blocks as specified for the nonimmersed bond test in Fed Spec SS-S-1401. Using a sample of the sealant that is specified by the user agency, heat the sealant and prepare the specimens in accordance to Fed Spec SS-S-1401.

4.4.9.2 Testing. Test the specimens in accordance to the nonimmersed bond test procedures of Fed Spec SS-S-1401. Determine conformance to the requirements of 3.8.

4.5 Toxicological Data and Formulations. The manufacturer shall provide a listing of the components in the primer that when volatilize could produce hazardous vapors (see 5.3.3). Where precautions need to be taken relative to the inhaling of, or skin or eye contact with the material or vapors, these precautions shall be included in the manufacturer's instructions (see 3.9 and 5.3.3.1).

4.6 Inspection of Preparation for Delivery.

4.6.1 Sampling. Sampling for inspection of filled containers shall be in accordance with MIL-STD-105, inspection Level II. The unit of product shall be one unit prepared for shipment.

4.6.2 Examination. Each filled container selected shall be inspected for conformance to the requirements of Section 5. Inspection shall be based on an Acceptable Quality level of 2.5 percent defective.

5. PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY

5.1 Packing. Packing shall be Level A, B, or Commercial as specified (see 6.2).

5.1.1 Level A. The material shall be packed in a close-fitting, tapered 24-gage metal pail with gasket and lug cover. Pails shall have a wire handle securely attached to ears or clips which shall be attached to the body of the pails. The exterior surfaces of the pails shall be coated as specified in PPP-P-704. The unit pack quantity shall be one unit of issue quantity specified in the contract or purchase order.

5.1.2 Level B. The material shall be packed the same as for Level A except that the exterior surfaces of the pail shall be coated with a commercial coating.

5.1.3 Commercial. The material shall be packed to insure carrier acceptance and safe delivery to the destination in containers complying with the rules and regulations applicable to the mode of transportation.

5.2 Palletization.

5.2.1 Level A. Unless otherwise specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.2.2 Level B and Commercial. When specified (see 6.2), material shall be palletized in accordance with MIL-STD-147.

5.3 Marking.

5.3.1 Civil Agencies. Shipments to civil agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-123.

5.3.2 Military Agencies. Shipments to military agencies shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-129.

5.3.3 Special Marking. In addition to the marking of 5.3.1 or 5.3.2, and any special marking of the contract or order, the following information shall be shown on each pail:

- a. Name of primer
- b. Specification number
- c. Manufacturer's name and material designation
- d. Manufacturer's lot and batch number
- e. Date of manufacturer (month and year)

- f.* List of hazardous components (see 4.5)
- g.* Quantity of sealant in pail (net weight)
- h.* Application temperature
- i.* Instructions for use

5.3.3.1 Instructions for Use. The instructions for use (see 6.7) shall include, but not limited to the following: ambient temperature and humidity ranges, and moisture conditions of joints; for successful installation; essential requirements for preparation of joints, handling, placing, and disposal of primer materials; and any restrictions to be adhered to in order to reduce hazards to personnel or to the environment. If it is not feasible to include all the instructions on the container without sacrificing legibility, the most important information shall be shown on the container and the full instructions referenced and furnished separately.

6. NOTES

6.1 Intended Use. This primer is intended for use with joint sealant materials for sealing joints and cracks in rigid pavements that are not subjected to the spillage of jet fuels and lubricating oils. It is not intended to be resistant to the heat and blast of jet aircraft engines, except when aircraft are moving at moderate speeds.

6.2 Ordering Data. Purchasers shall select the preferred options permitted herein, and include the following in procurement documents:

- a.* Title, number and date of this specification
- b.* When stability samples are required, quantity to be retained and by what activity (see 3.7) and 6.4)
- c.* Addresses for submission of MSDS (see 3.9 and 6.5)
- d.* Sampling, if other than as specified (see 4.3)
- e.* Designation of Government approved test facility (see 4.4)
- f.* Level of packing required (see 5.1)
- g.* If palletization is not required for Level A (see 5.2.1)
- h.* When palletization is required for Level B or Commercial (see 5.2.2)

6.3 Data Requirements. When this specification is used in an acquisition which incorporates DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) and invokes the provisions of paragraph 52.227-7031 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), the data requirements will be developed as specified

by an approved Data Item Description (DD Form 1664) and delivered in accordance with the approved CDRL (DD Form 1423) incorporated into the contract. When the provisions are not invoked, the data shall be delivered in accordance with the contract requirements (see 3.10).

6.4 *Stability Samples.* The date of delivery shall be marked on samples submitted for stability testing (see 3.7).

6.5 *MSDS Submission and Forwarding.* MSDS copies shall be forwarded to the designated Industrial Hygienist and the focal point of the activity that purchased the item, and the focal point of the using activity if different from purchasing activity. After review and acceptance of MSDS by designated recipients, approved copies will be forwarded to arrive at the destinations prior to material delivery (see 3.9).

6.6 *Availability of Testing Materials and Apparatus.* Known suppliers of specified testing materials and apparatus are as follows:

6.6.1 *Solvent Resistant Sealer.* Permeatex No. 2 Pliable, Non-Hardening, Gasket Sealant, Loctite Corporation, Automotive and Consumer Group, Kansas City, KS 66115.

6.6.2 *Release Agent.* An agent as specified in 4.4.5.1: Dow Corning 20 release coating, Dow Corning Corporation, Midland, MI 48640.

6.6.3 *Concrete Blocks.* Blocks as specified in 4.4.6.2: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Missouri River Division Laboratory, 420 South 18th Street, Omaha, NE 68102.

6.6.4 *Blotting Paper.* Paper as specified in 4.4.6.3: White Reliance Blotting Paper, Product Code 13-01-12, James River Paper Company, Incorporated, 145 James Way, Southampton, PA 18966.

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188 | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. | | | | |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | 2. REPORT DATE October 1993 | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final report | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improved Materials and Processes for Sealing and Resealing Joints in Portland Cement Concrete Pavements - Field Evaluation | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS CPAR Research Program Work Unit 32614 | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Larry N. Lynch, Dewey W. White, James Chehovits | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Geotechnical Laboratory, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199 Crafco, Inc. 6975 West Crafco Way, Chandler, AZ 85226 | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Technical Report CPAR-GL-93-2 | | |
| 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20314-1000 | | 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 | | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited. | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report documents the field evaluation phase of the joint effort research program conducted by Crafco, Incorporated, and the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. The project was funded under the auspices of the FY 89 Construction Productivity Advancement Research (CPAR) Program. The objectives of the research effort were to (a) develop specification limits for a hot-applied, jet-fuel-resistant (JFR) sealant with improved performance characteristics as compared to current Federal Specification (FS) SS-S-1614A type materials, (b) develop specification limits for a hot-applied, non-jet-fuel-resistant (non-JFR) sealant with improved low temperature performance characteristics as compared to current FS SS-S-1401C type materials, (c) develop specification limits for a primer system that will minimize the bubbling tendencies associated with hot-applied sealants and improve adhesion characteristics to portland cement concrete (PCC), and (d) develop field data to determine performance characteristics of flush fill sealant application geometry versus 1/8 to 1/4 in. recess application techniques. The objectives were expanded to include field comparisons of the laboratory developed JFR and non-JFR sealants versus commercially available sealant materials and to verify that the developed primer system minimized bubbling tendencies of hot-applied sealants. (Continued) | | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS Asphalt-based sealants Field-molded sealants Cold-applied sealants Hot-applied sealants Coal tar-based sealants (Continued) | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 166 | | |
| | | 16. PRICE CODE | | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT | |

13. (Concluded).

The field evaluation site selected for this project was Fairchild Air Force Base (AFB), WA. The 6 month and 1 year evaluations indicate that none of the sealants experienced any adhesive or cohesive failures except in areas that could be attributed to snow plow damage or areas of the joint face that were not completely clean when the sealant was installed. The hot-applied, asphalt-based materials had experienced a large amount of bubbling but the bubbling had not affected the sealants performance. It is believed that the bubbling could affect the field performance of these materials within the next year. The cold-applied sealants, both single-component and two-component, appeared to be performing better than the hot-applied sealants. However, because of the brevity of the evaluation period, it is difficult to predict which material will perform the best.

14. (Concluded).

Jet-fuel-resistant sealants

Silicone-based sealants

Non-jet-fuel-resistant sealants

Two-component sealants

Polysulfide-based sealants